

European Endocrinology, 2010;6(2):13–8

Vitamin D and Insulin Action and Secretion – An Overview of Current Understanding and Future Perspectives

Hanne L Gulseth,¹ Cecilie Wium² and Kåre I Birkeland³

1. Post-doctoral Researcher and Resident in Endocrinology; 2. Research Fellow and Resident in Endocrinology; 3. Professor of Endocrinology and Head, Department of Endocrinology, Oslo University Hospital, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo and Oslo Diabetes Research Centre

Abstract

Impaired vitamin D status has been linked to the development of type 2 diabetes. This review summarises the current knowledge of the effects of vitamin D on insulin action and secretion. Animal and *in vitro* studies suggest an effect of vitamin D on insulin action and secretion. The effects of vitamin D status in humans are not as clear, however, and cross-sectional data on insulin sensitivity and secretion are inconclusive. Intervention studies are few and often suffer from inadequate design including short duration, low sample power, low dose of vitamin D or use of indirect measures of insulin sensitivity and secretion. Despite some plausible biological mechanisms for an effect of vitamin D on both insulin secretion and action, more evidence is needed to decide whether vitamin D plays an important role in the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes.

Keywords

Vitamin D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, cholecalciferol, insulin secretion, insulin resistance, β -cell function, clamp, intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT), oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR)

Disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Received: 20 August 2010 **Accepted:** 21 September 2010 **Citation:** *European Endocrinology*, 2010;6(2):13–8

Correspondence: Hanne L Gulseth, Hormone Laboratory, Department of Endocrinology, P.b. 4959 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway. E: h.l.gulseth@medisin.uio.no

Vitamin D is obtained from sun exposure, diet (oily fish or fortified dairy products) and dietary supplements. Serum concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] is a valid marker of vitamin D status.¹ Very low levels of 25(OH)D (e.g. <20–25nmol/l) have long been recognised as the cause of rickets in childhood and in adults can give rise to skeletal and muscular abnormalities.² Research in recent years has indicated that vitamin D concentrations not low enough to result in skeletal abnormalities are nevertheless associated with a number of pathological conditions.³ It has therefore been suggested that serum 25(OH)D concentration should preferably be above 75nmol/l.^{2,4}

With this background, hypovitaminosis D may be considered a major health problem, with more than one billion people worldwide having either vitamin D deficiency or insufficiency.² During recent years, a considerable body of evidence has emerged suggesting that vitamin D may also have an impact on the development of type 2 diabetes (see *Figure 1*).^{5–7} Data from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) revealed that vitamin D deficiency was associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes.⁶ Conversely, in the Nurses' Health Study, Pittas et al. reported a 33% decreased risk of type 2 diabetes in women with high vitamin D intake compared to women with low intake.⁸

Resistance to the metabolic actions of insulin in the liver and muscle, and insulin secretory dysfunction in the β -cells of the pancreas are the main pathophysiological disturbances that lead to type 2 diabetes. Several other tissues and organs also play important roles in the pathogenesis of the disease, among which fat tissue, the gut with its incretin hormones, the pancreatic α -cells, kidneys and brain may be the most important.⁹

The exact mechanisms responsible for impaired insulin secretion and action remain to be fully elucidated. In contrast to the situation in type 1 diabetes, where the gradual and usually rapid reduction in insulin secretion parallels a reduction in β -cell mass, there are plenty of β -cells present even after many years of type 2 diabetes.

A major cause of the impaired insulin secretion in type 2 diabetes, therefore, seems to be impairment in glucose-induced insulin secretion, while the response to other secretagogues is better preserved. Accumulation of lipids in the β -cells and increased circulating levels of non-esterified fatty acids (lipotoxicity) and glucose (glucotoxicity) may contribute to impaired insulin secretion.¹⁰

Insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes and prediabetes is mainly due to a post-receptor defect in insulin signalling that reduces non-oxidative glucose metabolism. It seems to be associated with mitochondrial dysfunction and/or endoplasmic reticulum stress and, at least in some tissues, the accumulation of lipid droplets.¹⁰

This article explores the possible role of vitamin D deficiency in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. It reviews the literature investigating a potential role for vitamin D in the regulation of insulin secretion and action in subjects with and without type 2 diabetes.

Measuring Insulin Secretion and Action


In the studies reviewed here, measurements of insulin action and secretion have been performed using a variety of different methods. The preferred methods are direct measurements, such as the euglycaemic, hyperinsulinaemic glucose clamp for measuring insulin sensitivity. The hyperglycaemic clamp or intravenous glucose tolerance

Table 1: Selected Studies of Associations between 25-hydroxyvitamin D and Insulin Sensitivity in Humans

Study	Mean 25(OH)D (nmol/l)	Population Studied	Method for Measuring Insulin Sensitivity	Associations of 25(OH)D With Insulin Sensitivity
Baynes, 1997 ¹⁴	42	Elderly Dutchmen (n=142)	Fasting insulin	↓
Chiu, 2004 ¹⁸	46.9 (AsianA) 69.4 (C) 50.2 (MA) 47.3 (AA)	Healthy glucose tolerant (n=126)	Hyperglycaemic clamp-ISI	↑
Scragg, 2004 ⁶	79.6 (C) 49.1 (AA) 66.0 (MA)	NHANES III (n=6,228)	HOMA-IR	↓ (MA, C) ↔ (AA)
Manco, 2005 ²⁰	39.2	Morbidly obese Caucasians (n=116)	Euglycaemic clamp	↔
Alemzadeh, 2008 ¹⁶	59.9	Children (n=127)	QUICKI	↔
Gannagé-Yared, 2009 ¹⁷	77.4	Lebanese students (n=381)	HOMA-IR	↔
Lu, 2009 ¹⁵	40.4	Nutrition and ageing population in China (n=3,263)	HOMA-IR, F-insulin	↓
Muscogiuri, 2010 ²¹	40.4	Italian, non-diabetic (n=39)	Euglycaemic clamp	↔
Gulseth, 2010 ²²	57.1	Metabolic syndrome (n=446)	IVGTT: S _i HOMA-IR	↔
Kayaniyil, 2010 ²³	55.8	Non-diabetic (n=712)	HOMA-IR	↑

25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; AA = Afro-American; AsianA = Asian-American; C = Caucasian; F-insulin = fasting-insulin; HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; ISI = insulin sensitivity index; IVGTT = intravenous glucose tolerance test; MA = Mexican-American; QUICKI = quantitative insulin sensitivity check index; SI = insulin sensitivity; T2DM = type 2 diabetes.

Figure 1: Possible Relationships Between Vitamin D and Type 2 Diabetes



Serum levels of vitamin D are primarily dependent on sun exposure and dietary intake (e.g. oily fish). Vitamin D may impact directly on pancreatic β-cell function or indirectly via its effect on gastrointestinal hormones (incretins) or inflammation. The possible effects of vitamin D on insulin action in liver, muscle and adipose tissue can be mediated directly via its regulatory function on intracellular calcium or its effects on inflammation. Impaired insulin secretion and action may cause type 2 diabetes.

test (IVGTT), with the use of Bergman’s minimal model, can estimate both insulin sensitivity and secretion. However, these tests are cumbersome and expensive to perform.

In most of the studies referred to herein, more easily measured markers of insulin resistance and secretion have been used. These are usually

based on measurements in fasting blood samples and include (among others) fasting insulin, fasting C-peptide and indices that combine fasting measurements of glucose and insulin, such as the HOMA (homeostatic model assessment) and QUICKI (quantitative insulin sensitivity check index) indices. In some instances, indices based on oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT) have also been used. These include the 30-minute value of insulin, Matsuda index and the oral glucose insulin sensitivity index.

All of these methods have their benefits and disadvantages, and are discussed in further detail elsewhere.¹¹⁻¹³

Insulin Action

Several studies describe an association between vitamin D status and insulin sensitivity (see Tables 1 and 2). These are mostly cross-sectional studies that have shown a positive association between serum 25(OH)D concentration and fasting measures of insulin sensitivity,^{6,14,15} but the results are ambiguous.^{16,17}

The insulin sensitivity index measured with a hyperglycaemic clamp was positively associated with serum 25(OH)D concentration in young, glucose-tolerant, Californian students of different ethnicities.¹⁸ The relationship between vitamin D level and insulin sensitivity index measurement remained significant after adjustment for age, sex, ethnicity and body mass index (BMI). The same association between vitamin D status and insulin sensitivity index was found by Kamycheva and co-workers in a study of 15 subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism and 15 controls, when dividing the 30 subjects according to their median vitamin D concentration.¹⁹

By contrast, Manco et al. found no relationship between vitamin D status and insulin sensitivity, measured with the euglycaemic, hyperinsulinaemic clamp, in 116 morbidly obese subjects.²⁰ Likewise, the serum 25(OH)D concentration was not associated with euglycaemic, hyperinsulinaemic clamp-estimated insulin sensitivity in 39 non-diabetic Italians.²¹ No association with serum 25(OH)D concentration was seen when measuring insulin sensitivity using IVGTT and Bergman’s minimal model in 446 subjects with the metabolic syndrome.²²

Table 2: Selected Randomised Controlled Trials of the Effect of Vitamin D Supplementation on Insulin Sensitivity

Study	Mean 25(OH)D (nmol/l) At Baseline and At End of Intervention	Population Studied	Intervention Given	Method for Measuring Insulin Sensitivity	Outcome
Ljunghall, 1987 ³⁵	Not given	IGT/'newly diagnosed' T2DM n=65	Calcitriol 0.75µg/day for 3 months	IVGTT	↔
Orwoll, 1994 ²⁴	Pre: 35.0; Post: not given	T2DM n=20	Calcitriol 1µg/day for 4 days	Meal challenge (insulin, c-peptide)	↔
Pittas, 2007 ²⁷	Intervention group: Pre: 81.8; Δ: 29.6 Control: Pre: 70.6; Δ: 2.4 Intervention group: Pre: 71.2; Δ: 31.2 Control: Pre: 81.2; Δ: -7.8	NFG (n=222) IFG (n=92)	700IU cholecalciferol/day for 3 years	HOMA-IR	↔ ↓
Tai, 2008 ⁵⁵	Pre: 39.9; Post: 90.3	Non-diabetic (n=33)	100,000IU cholecalciferol x 2 followed for 4 weeks	OGTT HOMA-IR QUICKI	↔ ↔ ↔
Jorde, 2009 ³²	Intervention group: Pre: 60.0; Δ: 58.3 Control: Pre: 58.5; Δ: -1.3	T2DM (n=32)	40,000IU cholecalciferol/week for 6 months vs placebo	HOMA-IR	↔
Nagpal, 2009 ³⁰	Intervention group: Pre: 36.5; Δ: 35.1 Control: Pre: 30.0; Δ: 0.65	Centrally obese men >35 years from India (n=100, 71 completers)	120,000IU cholecalciferol x 3 (6 weeks intervention) versus placebo	HOMA-IR QUICKI OGIS-3h	↔ ↔ ↓
von Hurst, 2010 ²⁸	Median values. Intervention group: Pre: 21; Post: 80 Control: Pre: 19; Post: 29	Insulin resistant South Asian women living in New Zealand (n=81)	4,000IU cholecalciferol/daily for 6 months vs placebo	HOMA-IR	↓
Parekh, 2010 ³³	Intervention group: Pre: 37.1; Post: 103.6 Control: Pre: 41.6; Post: 44.8	T2DM (n=28) Asian Indians	300,000IU cholecalciferol i.m x 1 followed for 4 weeks	HOMA-IR	↔
Patel, 2009 ³⁴	Group 1: Pre: 43.8; Post: 63.4 Group 2: Pre: 38.8; Post: 68.2	T2DM (n=24) Americans	400 IU cholecalciferol/day versus 1,200IU cholecalciferol/day for 4 months	QUICKI	↔

25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; IGT = impaired glucose tolerant; IFG = impaired fasting glucose; IVGTT = intravenous glucose tolerance test; NFG = normal fasting glucose; OGIS-3h = oral glucose insulin sensitivity index; OGTT = oral glucose tolerance test; QUICKI = quantitative insulin sensitivity check index; T2DM = type 2 diabetes.

Kayaniyil and associates investigated the cross-sectional associations between vitamin D and OGTT-measured insulin sensitivity. They found that low levels of serum 25(OH)D were associated with low Matsuda insulin sensitivity index and increased HOMA-insulin resistance (IR).²³ Interestingly, in sub-analyses according to BMI, these associations were only valid in those with a BMI <30kg/m². The influence of BMI could possibly partly explain the differences observed between studies.

The importance of serum 25(OH)D concentration for insulin action in subjects with type 2 diabetes is not clear. In 34 subjects with type 2 diabetes, Orwoll did not find any association of vitamin D status with concentrations of glucose, C-peptide and insulin, whether levels were measured when fasting or meal stimulated.²⁴ Sufficiently large studies using direct measures are, however, lacking.

The effect of vitamin D on insulin sensitivity might be dependent on ethnicity. In NHANES III, serum 25(OH)D concentration correlated negatively with HOMA-IR in Caucasians and Mexican-Americans but not in Afro-Americans.⁶ In another study, vitamin D intake was

positively associated with IVGTT-measured insulin sensitivity and was inversely associated with HOMA-IR in Afro-American women. The relationships were independent of age, total body fat, energy intake and percentage of kcals from fat. No such associations were seen in European-Americans. The study did not report measurements of serum 25(OH)D.²⁵

There are only a few prospective studies on the predictive values of 25(OH)D on glucose metabolism. In a longitudinal cohort study of 524 non-diabetic men and women aged 40–69 years, Forouhi et al. demonstrated an inverse correlation between baseline serum concentration of 25(OH)D and future glycaemia and insulin resistance, measured by HOMA-IR.²⁶ After 17 years of follow-up of the Mini-Finland Health Survey, a relative risk of 0.6 for developing type 2 diabetes was found for the highest, compared to the lowest, 25(OH)D quartile. This association was attenuated, however, after adjustment for BMI and physical activity.⁷

Intervention with vitamin D supplementation may affect insulin sensitivity (see Table 2). Pittas and co-workers reported on a

Table 3: Selected Studies of Associations between 25-hydroxyvitamin D and Insulin Secretion in Humans

Study	Mean 25(OH)D (nmol/l)	Population Studied	Method for Measuring Insulin Secretion	Associations of 25(OH)D With Insulin Secretion
Orwoll, 1994 ²⁴	35	T2DM (n=35)	Meal challenge (glucose, insulin, c-peptide)	↔
Boucher, 1995 ⁴²	<27.5	East London Asians (n=44)	OGTT _{insulin 30 min}	↑
Baynes, 1997 ¹⁴	42	Elderly Dutchmen (n=142)	OGTT	↓
Chiu, 2004 ¹⁸	46.9 (AsianA) 69.4 (C) 50.2 (MA) 47.3 (AA)	Healthy glucose tolerant (n=126)	Hyperglycaemic clamp	↓ (attenuates after adjustment for ISI)
Scragg, 2004 ⁶	79.6 (C) 49.1 (AA) 66.0 (MA)	NHANES (n=6,228)	HOMA-β	↔
Gulseth, 2010 ²²	57.1	Metabolic syndrome (n=446)	IVGTT: AIRg/DI HOMA-β	↔
Kayaniyil, 2010 ²³	55.8	Non-diabetic (n=712)	ISSI-2 IGI/IR	↑

25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; AA = Afro-American; AIRg = acute insulin response to glucose; AsianA = Asian-American; C = Caucasian; DI = disposition index; HOMA-β = homeostatic model assessment of β-cell function; IGI/IR = insulinogenic index/homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; ISI = insulin sensitivity index; ISSI-2 = insulin secretion sensitivity index-2; IVGTT = intravenous glucose tolerance test; MA = Mexican-American; OGTT = oral glucose tolerance test; T2DM = type 2 diabetes

Table 4: Selected Randomised Controlled Trials of the Effect of Vitamin D Supplementation on Insulin Secretion in Humans

Study	Mean 25(OH)D (nmol/l) At Baseline and At End of Intervention	Population Studied	Intervention Given	Method for Measuring Insulin Secretion	Outcome
Ljunghall, 1987 ³⁵	Not given	IGT/T2DM n=65	Calcitriol 0.75µg/day in 3 months	IVGTT: AIRg	↔
Orwoll, 1994 ²⁴	Pre: 35.0; Post: not given	T2DM n=20	Calcitriol 1µg/day for 4 days	Meal challenge (insulin, c-peptide)	↔
Boucher, 1995 ⁴²	Pre: 9.0; Post: 33.6	East London Asians (n=22)	100,000IU cholecalciferol x 1 followed for 8–12 weeks	OGTT _{insulin 30 min}	↑
von Hurst, 2010 ²⁸	Median values. Intervention group: Pre: 21; Post: 80 Control: Pre: 19; Post: 29	Insulin resistant South Asian women living in New Zealand (n=81)	4,000 IU cholecalciferol/day for 6 months versus placebo	HOMA-β	↔
Nagpal, 2009 ³⁰	Intervention group: Pre: 36.5; Δ: 35.1 Control: Pre: 30.0; Δ: 0.65	Centrally obese men >35 years from India (n=100, 71 completers)	120,000IU cholecalciferol x 3 (6 weeks intervention) versus placebo	HOMA-β	↔
Jorde, 2009 ³²	Intervention group: Pre: 60.0; Δ: 58.3 Control: Pre: 58.5; Δ: -1.3	T2DM (n=32)	40,000IU cholecalciferol/week for 6 months versus placebo	HOMA-β	↔

25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; AIRg = acute insulin response to glucose; HOMAβ = homeostatic model assessment of β-cell function; IGT = impaired glucose tolerance; IVGTT = intravenous glucose tolerance test; OGTT = oral glucose tolerance test; T2DM = type 2 diabetes.

randomised controlled trial in 314 non-diabetic subjects given cholecalciferol and calcium supplementation or placebo for three years.²⁷ In a subgroup of subjects with impaired fasting glucose, vitamin D supplementation attenuated the increases in glycaemia and insulin resistance measured by HOMA-IR seen in the placebo group. No effect was seen in subjects with normal fasting glucose concentration.²⁷ This was, however, a *post-hoc* analysis of a trial designed for the prevention of osteoporosis, rather than to determine the effects of vitamin D on insulin sensitivity.

In line with these results is the SURAYA study, where obese, insulin-resistant South-Asian women living in New Zealand were given 4,000IU of cholecalciferol or placebo daily for six months. HOMA-IR was significantly improved, but only in subjects who reached a 25(OH)D serum concentration of >80nmol/l and only after six months of

supplementation.²⁸ This may suggest a time- and dose-dependent effect of vitamin D supplementation. This is also supported by the notion that in studies on both bone and muscle, it takes many months of adequate vitamin D supplementation to normalise vitamin D at the tissue level.²⁹

Nagpal and co-workers observed no effect of vitamin D levels on HOMA-IR. They did, however, find a significant effect on three-hour oral glucose insulin sensitivity testing, after an intervention with 120,000IU cholecalciferol given fortnightly for six weeks in obese Asian-Indian men.³⁰

Data on vitamin D supplementation in subjects with type 2 diabetes are scarce and most studies are small. A Scottish, randomised, controlled trial in 34 subjects, given 100,000IU ergocalciferol as a single dose, revealed no effect on HbA_{1c} or HOMA-IR after eight weeks. Participants did, however, have significantly improved flow-mediated dilation.³¹

Likewise, Jorde and Figenschau did not find an effect on HbA_{1c} or HOMA-IR when giving patients cholecalciferol 40,000IU/week during a six-month placebo-controlled study. This study included subjects with a mean 25(OH)D level of 60nmol/l, however, and had limited power.³²

Parekh et al. and Patel et al. observed no effect on insulin sensitivity, QUICKI and HOMA-IR of vitamin D supplementation in 28 Asian-Indian and 24 American subjects with type 2 diabetes, respectively.^{33,34} Very few studies have used direct measures of insulin sensitivity. Orwoll found no effect on a meal challenge after calcitriol supplementation.²⁴ IVGTT-measured insulin sensitivity did not change in a Danish study of 1- α -hydroxycholecalciferol supplementation in subjects with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes or impaired fasting glucose.³⁵

Insulin Secretion

Animal and *in vitro* studies suggest a relationship between vitamin D and pancreatic β -cell function. Rabbits, rats and mice with vitamin D deficiency display impaired insulin secretion that improves with vitamin D supplementation.^{36–39}

The effects on insulin secretion in man, however, are not clear. Anecdotal case reports in the 1980s indicated favourable consequences of vitamin D repletion in subjects with type 2 diabetes.^{40,41} Despite this, data from cross-sectional (see *Table 3*) and interventional (see *Table 4*), studies are sparse and the results are not conclusive.

In a relatively small study among East London Asians with severe vitamin D deficiency, serum vitamin 25(OH)D concentration correlated with insulin and C-peptide concentrations 30 minutes after an OGTT.⁴² Insulin was not measured at baseline and two hours after glucose intake in this study. For this reason, the 0 to 30 minute increase or the area under the curve have not been calculated. The data were not adjusted for BMI and other covariates either.

Interestingly, when OGTTs were performed in a group of elderly Dutchmen, 25(OH)D concentration correlated inversely, in adjusted analyses, with the area under the curve for glucose. They also correlated inversely for insulin after exclusion of eight subjects with newly-diagnosed type 2 diabetes.¹⁴ These results do not suggest an insulin secretory defect in subjects with hypovitaminosis D.

In a cross-sectional study of young, healthy, glucose-tolerant students, Chiu et al. observed an independent negative relationship between serum 25(OH)D concentration and plasma glucose concentration after an OGTT. They interpreted these findings as a β -cell dysfunction.¹⁸ The authors then went on to use the more invasive hyperglycaemic clamp method in the same subjects. Here, the initially-observed inverse relationship between both first- and second-phase insulin secretion and serum 25(OH)D concentration was not significant after adjusting for covariates, including BMI.¹⁸

In accordance with Chiu et al., Gulseth et al. observed no association between serum 25(OH)D concentration and insulin secretion estimated from an IVGTT as the acute insulin response to glucose or the disposition index. The study included a large sample of European subjects with the metabolic syndrome. No association was seen between vitamin D status and HOMA- β after appropriate adjustment for BMI and other covariates.²²

In the large NHANES III study, with more than 6,000 participants, there was no relationship between HOMA- β and serum 25(OH)D concentration either.⁶ By contrast, Kayaniyil et al. recently reported a statistically significant association between 25(OH)D and insulin secretion measured during an OGTT, although the clinical significance is questionable, based on the given regression equations.²³ Possible associations between serum 25(OH)D concentration and measures of insulin secretion have scarcely been investigated in subjects with diagnosed type 2 diabetes. Orwoll et al. reported no relationship between vitamin D status and glucose, C-peptide concentrations and insulin after a meal challenge in 35 subjects with type 2 diabetes.²⁴

In general, measures based on OGTT tend to be positively associated with serum 25(OH)D concentration.^{14,18,23,42} Fasting measurements (HOMA- β) and more invasive methods, such as the IVGTT and hyperglycaemic clamp, do not show such an association.^{6,18,22} One can only speculate about the possible relationship between the incretins, insulin secretion and vitamin D levels.⁴³

Data on insulin secretion from vitamin D intervention studies are sparse (see *Table 4*). Most studies are small and inadequately powered or designed, and use surrogate measures of insulin secretion. In the study by Boucher et al.,⁴² 22 subjects with severe vitamin D deficiency (25(OH)D <27.5nmol/l) were supplemented with cholecalciferol 100,000IU. After eight to 12 weeks both C-peptide and insulin secretion, measured 30 minutes after OGTT, were improved. Borrisova and co-workers reported on an intervention in 10 female subjects with type 2 diabetes given 1,300IU cholecalciferol per day for four weeks. Apparently, first-phase insulin secretion, measured during an IVGTT, was increased after the intervention.⁴⁴ These studies were not randomised, controlled trials, however.

By contrast, data from currently available randomised, controlled trials do not show an effect of vitamin D on insulin secretion. Neither Lind et al.⁴⁵ nor Ljunghall et al.³⁵ found any effect of calcitriol intervention on IVGTT-measured insulin secretion in subjects with glucose intolerance. Jorde and Figenschau report of no effect on HOMA- β after six months of cholecalciferol intervention in 32 Norwegian subjects with type 2 diabetes.³² Likewise, cholecalciferol treatment did not influence HOMA- β significantly in insulin-resistant or glucose-intolerant subjects in the SURAYA trial from New Zealand and a trial from New Delhi, India.^{28,30}

Possible Mechanisms Linking Vitamin D to Insulin Action and Secretion

Even though the clinical evidence of vitamin D effects on insulin secretion and action are indecisive, there are biological mechanisms that could explain such an effect. Many tissues, including the pancreas, express vitamin D receptors and also have the ability to convert 25(OH)D to its active form 1,25(OH)₂D by the expression of 25-hydroxyvitamin D-1- α -hydroxylase.³

As intracellular calcium concentrations and calcium fluxes over the cellular membrane are important regulators of insulin secretion, it has been suggested that vitamin D may exert its effects on the β -cell by its ability to regulate calcium. Calcium is also essential for insulin action in target tissues, so the association of insulin resistance with low vitamin D-levels may be due to impaired transduction of the intracellular signalling pathway after insulin binds to its cellular receptor. Alternatively, inflammation associated with accumulation of

intra-abdominal fat seems to be an important mediator of both insulin secretory dysfunction and insulin resistance.¹⁰ The major role of vitamin D on immune cells may therefore be key to its antidiabetic effects.

Genetics

The vitamin D receptor acts as a transcription factor when bound to 1,25(OH)₂D. Polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor gene – *TaqI*, *Apal*, *FokI* and *BsmI* – may influence insulin action and secretion, although data are sparse and inconclusive.⁴⁶

In Bangladeshi Asians the *TaqI* polymorphism has been associated with insulin secretion,⁴⁷ whereas the *BsmI* gene variant was related to insulin resistance measured as the HOMA-IR index in Caucasian-Americans.⁴⁸ In general, no statistical differences in vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism frequencies have been found between subjects with type 2 diabetes and controls.^{49–51} Data using direct measures of insulin sensitivity and secretion and investigations comparing different ethnicities are currently lacking. The active vitamin D metabolite, vitamin 1,25(OH)₂D, circulates bound to its specific vitamin D binding protein. Genetic variants of this binding protein have been linked to an increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes and/or insulin resistance in several,^{52–54} but not all,^{52,53} populations. Polymorphisms in vitamin D-related genes could possibly explain the observed differences between populations, both in response to vitamin D supplementation and the cross-sectional associations between serum 25(OH)D concentration and insulin action and secretion.

Conclusions

In conclusion there is some, but not definitive, evidence that low levels of vitamin D may be causally related to insulin

resistance. The evidence that links hypovitaminosis D to insulin secretory dysfunction seems to be weak and mostly indirect. There is a need for prospective, clinical, intervention studies applying up-to-date direct measurements of insulin action and secretion in subjects with low levels of vitamin D to clarify the issue. ■



Hanne L Gulseth is a Post-doctoral Researcher and a Resident at the Hormone Laboratory in the Department of Endocrinology at Oslo University Hospital. Her main research interest is in nutrition and diabetes/metabolic syndrome with a special focus on vitamin D. Dr Gulseth received her PhD from the University of Oslo in 2010.



Cecilie Wium is a Research Fellow and Resident in Endocrinology at the Hormone Laboratory in the Department of Endocrinology at Oslo University Hospital. Her main research interests include the clinical and pathophysiological aspects of type 2 diabetes in immigrants. Dr Wium received her MD from l'Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble in France.



Kåre I Birkeland is a Professor of Endocrinology at the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Oslo and Head of the Department of Endocrinology at Oslo University Hospital. His main research focus is on the prevention, treatment and pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. Professor Birkeland's previous positions include Director of Research and Consultant in Endocrinology at Aker University Hospital, Oslo.

- Heaney RP, *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2004;80(Suppl. 6):1706S–9S.
- Holick MF, *N Engl J Med*, 2007;357(3):266–81.
- Holick MF, *Mol Aspects Med*, 2008;29(6):361–8.
- Vieth R, Bischoff-Ferrari H, Boucher BJ, et al., *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2007;85(3):649–50.
- Pittas AG, Lau J, Hu FB, Dawson-Hughes B, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2007;92(6):2017–29.
- Scragg R, Sowers M, Bell C, *Diabetes Care*, 2004;27(12):2813–8.
- Mattila C, Knekt P, Mannisto S, et al., *Diabetes Care*, 2007;30(10):2569–70.
- Pittas AG, Dawson-Hughes B, Li T, et al., *Diabetes Care*, 2006;29(3):650–6.
- De Fronzo RA, *Diabetes*, 2009;58(4):773–95.
- Stumvoll M, Goldstein BJ, van Haefken TW, *Lancet*, 2005;365(9467):1333–46.
- Ferrannini E, Mari A, *J Hypertens*, 1998;16(7):895–906.
- Muniyappa R, Lee S, Chen H, Quon MJ, *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 2008;294(1):E15–E26.
- Monzillo LU, Hamdy O, *Nutr Rev*, 2003;61(12):397–412.
- Baynes KC, Boucher BJ, Feskens EJ, Kromhout D, *Diabetologia*, 1997;40(3):344–7.
- Lu L, Pan A, Hu FB, et al., *Diabetes Care*, 2009;32(7):1278–83.
- Alemzadeh R, Kichler J, Babar G, Calhoun M, *Metabolism*, 2008;57(2):183–91.
- Gannage-Yared MH, Chedid R, Khalife S, et al., *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2009;160(6):965–71.
- Chiu KC, Chu A, Go VL, Saad MF, *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2004;79(5):820–5.
- Karmycheva E, Jorde R, Figenschau Y, Haug E, *J Endocrinol Invest*, 2007;30(2):126–32.
- Manco M, Calvani M, Nanni G, et al., *Obes Res*, 2005;13(10):1692–700.
- Muscogiuri G, Sorice GP, Priolella A, et al., *Obesity (Silver Spring)*, 2010 (Epub ahead of print).
- Gulseth HL, Gjelstad IM, Tierney AC, et al., *Diabetes Care*, 2010;33(4):923–5.
- Kayaniyil S, Vieth R, Retnakaran R, et al., *Diabetes Care*, 2010;33(6):1379–81.
- Orwoll E, Riddle M, Prince M, *Am J Clin Nutr*, 1994;59(5):1083–7.
- Alvarez JA, Bush NC, Choquette SS, et al., *Nutr Metab (Lond)*, 2010;7:28.
- Forouhi NG, Luan J, Cooper A, et al., *Diabetes*, 2008;57(10):2619–25.
- Pittas AG, Harris SS, Stark PC, Dawson-Hughes B, *Diabetes Care*, 2007;30(4):980–6.
- von Hurst PR, Stonehouse W, Coad J, *Br J Nutr*, 2010;103(4):549–55.
- Glerup H, Mikkelsen K, Poulsen L, et al., *Calcif Tissue Int*, 2000;66(6):419–24.
- Nagpal J, Pande JN, Bhartia A, *Diabet Med*, 2009;26(1):19–27.
- Sugden JA, Davies JI, Witham MD, et al., *Diabet Med*, 2008;25(3):320–5.
- Jorde R, Figenschau Y, *Eur J Nutr*, 2009;48(6):349–54.
- Parekh D, Sarathi V, Shivane VK, et al., *Endocr Pract*, 2010;16(4):600–8.
- Patel P, Poretzky L, Liao E, *J Diabetes*, 2009;2(1):36–40.
- Ljunghall S, Lind L, Lithell H, et al., *Acta Med Scand*, 1987;222(4):361–7.
- Norman AW, Frankel JB, Heldt AM, Grodsky GM, *Science*, 1980 ;209(4458):823–5.
- Cade C, Norman AW, *Endocrinology*, 1986;119(1):84–90.
- Nyomba BL, Bouillon R, De MP, *Endocrinology*, 1984;115(1):191–7.
- Kadowaki S, Norman AW, *J Clin Invest*, 1984;73(3):759–66.
- Gedik O, Akalin S, *Diabetologia*, 1986;29(3):142–5.
- Kumar S, Davies M, Zakaria Y, et al., *Postgrad Med J*, 1994;70(824):440–3.
- Boucher BJ, Mannan N, Noonan K, et al., *Diabetologia*, 1995;38(10):1239–45.
- Leung PS, Cheng Q, *Adv Exp Med Biol*, 2010;654:339–61.
- Borissova AM, Tankova T, Kirilov G, et al., *Int J Clin Pract*, 2003;57(4):258–61.
- Lind L, Pollare T, Hvarfner A, et al., *Diabetes Res*, 1989;11(3):141–7.
- Alvarez JA, Ashraf A, *Int J Endocrinol*, 2010;2010:351–85.
- Ogunkolade BW, Boucher BJ, Prah J, et al., *Diabetes*, 2002;51(7):2294–300.
- Oh JY, Barrett-Connor E, *Metabolism*, 2002;51(3):356–9.
- Ye WZ, Reis AF, Dubois-Laforgue D, et al., *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2001;145(2):181–6.
- Boullu-Sanchis S, Lepretre F, Hedelin G, et al., *Diabetes Metab*, 1999;25(2):150–6.
- Valdivielso JM, Fernandez E, *Clin Chim Acta*, 2006;371(1–2):1–12.
- Hirai M, Suzuki S, Hinokio Y, et al., *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2000;85(5):1951–3.
- Baier LJ, Dobberfuhr AM, Pratl RE, Hanson RL, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 1998;83(8):2993–6.
- Hirai M, Suzuki S, Hinokio Y, et al., *Diabetologia*, 1998;41(6):742–3.
- Tai K, Need AG, Horowitz M, Chapman IM, *Nutrition*, 2008;24(10):950–6.