# /vitamin-d...-during-the-pandemic-2022-a-systematic-review-and-meta-analysis/ Article Publishing History Vitamin D on COVID-19 Patients During The Pandemic, 2022. A systematic Review...

8 authors, including:

Samar Ahmed Amer
Zagazig University
**91** PUBLICATIONS   **87** CITATIONS

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project  THE PREVALENCE OF FACEBOOK USE AMONG ZAGAZIG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS AND ITS RELATION TO INTERNET ADDICTION, ANXIETY, AND DEPRESSION, A CROSS SECTION STUDY, 2014. View project

Project  Cognitive, emotional, physical, and behavioral stress-related symptoms and coping strategies among university students during the third wave of COVID-19 pandemic View project

Home » Vitamin D on COVID-19 Patients During The Pandemic, 2022. A systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

# Vitamin D on COVID-19 Patients During The Pandemic, 2022. A systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Moamen Mostafa Asla[1] iD , Asmaa Ahmed Nawar[1] iD , Esraa Elsayed[2] iD , Ramadan Abdelmoez Farahat[5] iD , Ayah Abdulgadir[6] iD , Mostafa Abdullah Alsharabasy[1] iD , Israa Mohamed Elshahawy[7] iD and Samar A. Amer[2,3,4*] iD

[1]Faculty of Human Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig City, Sharkia, Egypt.

[2]Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig City, Sharkia, Egypt.

[3]Membership at the Royal Colleague of General Practitioners[INT], London, United Kingdom.

[4]Department of Mental Health Primary Care, Nova University, Lisbon

[5]Faculty of Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh, Egypt.

[6]Faculty of Medicine, University of Khartoum, Sudan.

[7]Faculty of Pharmacy, Zagazig University, Zagazig City, Sharkia, Egypt.

Corresponding Author E-mail: dr_samar11@yahoo.com

**Article Metrics**

Views: 81

**Abstract:**

Numerous connections between the level of vitamin D (Vit-D) and the novel coronavirus disease -19 (COVID-19) have surfaced during the pandemic. So, we conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis to explore the effect of Vit-D deficiency and its supplementation on the clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients. We looked for relevant articles in Cochrane Library, Scopus, Web Science, PubMed, and EBSCO up until the end of 2022. The Open Meta Analyst software was used to analyze the extracted data. We classified them into two main categories based on their objectives. First, the studies that evaluated the effects of Vit-D deficiency in patients, and lastly, the studies that evaluated Vit-D as a supplement, both on mortality rate, hospitalization duration, ICU admission rate, and mechanical ventilation rate. A total of 8001 COVID-19 patients from 42 studies were included. A high serum Vit-D concentration compared to those with lower levels was associated with a significantly lower mortality rate (RR = 1.5, 95% CI = 1.11: 2.02, p = 0.01). According to the estimated effect of 18 studies, those who took Vit-D supplements had a significantly lower mortality rate, hospitalization duration, ICU admission rate, and mechanical ventilation rate than those who did not. The group receiving Vit-D doses between 50 000 to 100 000 IU had a significantly better clinical outcome compared to lower and higher doses. COVID-19 patients with normal Vit-D levels had significantly lower death rates than those with hypovitaminosis. Vit-D supplements in COVID-19 significantly improved clinical outcomes. Vit-D supplementation between 50 000 to 100 000 IU, in patients with COVID-19 significantly

Submit Manuscript Online

Join our team of Reviewers

Journal is indexed in...

## Most Popular Articles

Low Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) In Adult Population an...

(212,636)

Colostrum – its Composition, Benefits as a...

(132,031)

Importance of Exclusive Breastfeeding and Complementary...

(117,238)

Processing and Nutritional Composition of Rice Bran

(46,040)

Processing and Nutritive Value of Mango Seed Kernel Flour

(43,181)

outperformed other doses in terms of mortality.

**Keywords:**

Clinical Outcome; Efficacy; Vitamin D deficiency; Vitamin D supplements; SARS-CoV-2 virus

## Introduction

The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is a serious global threat resulting from the spread of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection. SARS-CoV-2 had affected nearly 306 million cases and resulted in more than 5.4 million deaths as of early January 2022 [1]. The severity of the SARS-CoV-2 infection depends on the development of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), pneumonia, thrombosis, and vital organ failure.These complications arise from initiating a cytokine storm that involves an aggressive inflammatory response causing multi-system damage [2]. Individuals with risk factors, including advanced age, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular diseases, and obesity, frequently experience more severe illness following COVID-19 infection [3-6]. A lack of Vitamin D (Vit-D) has also been considered a possible risk factor for bad outcomes[7,8].

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble vitamin obtained through diet, sunlight, and dietary supplements [9]. Calcitriol (1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D3) is the active form of Vit-D that exhibits a potent immunomodulatory effect by enhancing innate and acquired immune responses. Vit-D improves innate immunity by activating antimicrobial peptides, including defensins and cathelicidin. Calcitriol also inhibits the expression of pro-inflammatory mediators and increases anti-inflammatory mediator production from macrophages[10,11]. Regarding acquired immunity, calcitriol suppresses T helper lymphocyte type 1, which produces inflammatory cytokines[10]. These anti-inflammatory effects of Vit-D contribute to alleviating the COVID-19 cytokine storm. Vit-D also increases the levels of T-regulatory lymphocytes, which protect against inflammation and viral infections [12].

In addition to the role of Vit-D in immunity, it exhibits anti-thrombotic actions that can interfere with the microvascular thrombosis caused by SARS-CoV-2 [12,13]. Moreover, Vit-D increases the genetic expression of enzymes related to antioxidant production, mainly glutathione[12,14]. This antioxidant effect protects the cells from the oxidative stress caused by the infection and lowers patients' viral loads. All these beneficial actions promise that Vit-D supplementation should improve the outcomes of COVID-19 patients.

During the pandemic, numerous observational studies assessed the association between Vit-D insufficiency and patients' prognosis. Other interventional studies aim to determine the effect of Vit-D supplementation on disease severity. For this reason, we hypothesize that Vit-D deficiency may be a risk factor in patients with COVID 19 and its supplementation would improve their clinical outcomes. Therefore, we conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis to explore the effect of vitamin D deficiency and its supplementation on the clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients.

## Methods

We conducted this meta-analysis and reported it following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement guidelines and followed the criteria used in the Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses [16].

### Eligibility criteria

We came up with a PICOs strategy, which stands for population, intervention, comparison, outcome, and study design. We defined the PICOs as follows: P = COVID-19 patients; I = Vitamin

D deficiency or supplementation; C = comparator or control group; O = mortality rate as the primary outcome and ICU admission or hospitalization duration as secondary outcomes; S: randomized controlled trials; cross-sectional, prospective, and retrospective cohort studies.

**Eligibility requirements**

Both observational (prospective or retrospective, cohort or case-control design) and randomized controlled trials (parallel or cross-over), blinded (single-blind, double-blind, or open-label) studies. 2) Studies including COVID-19 individuals. 3) At least one of the clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients (mortality, ICU admission, ventilation, or hospitalization duration). 4) The clinical outcomes should be presented as the number of "events" in patients with COVID-19 who received Vit-D treatment compared to those who did not get Vit-D.

*Exclusion criteria for* clinical case series, review papers, book chapters, study procedures, critiques, editorials, comments, letters to the editor, studies without peer review, and finally, incompleteness in data.

**Search strategy**

We conducted a basic search independently through a systematic literature search across five different databases: PubMed, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Web of Science, and EBSCO, for relevant studies from 2019 up to the end of 2022. There were no limitations related to language or specific durations. The following keywords are interposed with "COVID-19" or "SARS-CoV-2" and "Vitamin D" (deficiency or supplementation). Searching relevant review references and retrieved documents for potentially qualifying articles. The corresponding authors of potentially eligible papers were contacted whenever possible for missing data.

**Selection process**

The study selection process consisted of two steps. First, two review authors (R.A.F. and E.E.) independently screened the titles and abstracts (TAs) of the retrieved records based on the PICOS strategy to exclude duplicate studies and those that did not meet the qualifying criteria. Secondly, the same reviewers assessed the full text of the selected ones. Any disagreement between the reviewers was resolved by discussion or with the senior author (M.M.A.).

**The extracted data**

Two authors (A.A.N. and A.A.) independently extracted required data from the included studies using a well-organized Excel sheet. The extracted data included: 1) baseline characteristics data, including age, gender, sample size, and health status. 2) The study characteristics, including setting and study design; 3) The reported serum vitamin D levels were classified into three groups based on a normal range (10-30 ng/mL): Group A (< 10 ng/mL, severe deficiency), Group B (< 20 ng/mL) and Group C (> 30 ng/mL, normal); 4) Vit-D supplementation-related data, including the dose and follow up duration, the formulation and method of Vit-D administration, the number of patients who received Vit-D, the number of COVID-19 patients who received Vit-D; and 5) The reported clinical outcome compared to those who did not receive Vit-D (ICU admission rate, mechanical ventilation, length of hospitalization, mortality rate).

A senior author (M.M.A.) reviewed the extraction sheet, and any disagreement was solved by discussion amongst the aforementioned investigators by debate, consensus, or arbitration. The included studies were divided into two main groups: those that evaluated Vit-D deficiency on clinical outcomes in people with COVID-19, and those that evaluated the effect of Vit-D supplementation on clinical outcomes.

**Quality assessment**

M.A.A. and I.M.E., two independent authors, assessed the risk of bias in the included studies using two validated tools: First, the tool for bias assessment, the Cochrane risk assessment for randomized controlled trials (RCTs), is in chapter 8.5 of the Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews of Interventions, 5.1.0. This tool consists of six domains (selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, reporting bias, and any other bias). Bias is assessed as a judgment. Each domain's risk of bias was graded as "low," "unclear," or "high." Thus, a study with appropriate processes in all domains was classified as having a low risk of bias, whereas a study with deficient procedures in at least one category was rated as having a high risk of bias. In all other instances, studies were classified as having an uncertain risk of bias[17].

Secondly, the Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies Scale (MINORS), which is a valid instrument to assess 12 items, the first eight of which are specifically for non-comparative and single-arm studies, a third reviewer settled any disagreements.

**Data Synthesis**

At a level of significance *p-value* was < 0.05. We pooled continuous data as the mean difference (MD) with a 95% Confidence Interval (C.I) and dichotomous data as the risk ratio (RR) with a 95% C.I. All data were analyzed using Open Meta Analyst software for Windows; an open-source, cross-platform software for advanced meta-analysis. Statistical heterogeneity between studies was assessed by the Chi-squared ($x^2$) and I-squared tests. Heterogeneity was evaluated as low, moderate, or high, with upper limits for $I^2$ of 25%, 50%, and 75%, respectively. When the $I^2$ value was 50% and the *p-value*= 0.05, heterogeneity was considered significant in the current meta-analysis. Using the random-effects model, considerable heterogeneity was reanalyzed and reported for the outcomes. Subgroup analysis was performed based on Vit-D levels (< 50 000, 50 000 – 10 000, > 100 000 International Unit (IU), etc.) and Vit-D supplementation (doses, the timing of administration, etc.), to clarify how efficacy differed and to reduce heterogeneity among the included studies.

**Ethical considerations**

The data collection took place in accordance with the Bahrain Personal Data Protection Law (PDPL) and the European Data Protection Regulation. As it's a systematic review and meta-analysis, we used secondary data from ethically approved studies, and the results were collected anonymously.

**Results**

**Study selection**

We found 5609 references in five different databases: Cochrane, Web of Science, PubMed, Scopus, and EBSCO. Only 126 references underwent a full-text screening phase. In the end, our analysis included 8001 COVID patients from 12 randomized control trials, 3 quasi-experimental trials, and 27 non-randomized control trials. [Fig. 1] illustrates the selection process through a PRISMA flowchart.



**Figure 1: A Flow chart of selection process based on PRISMA**

Click here to view Figure

**Fundamental Characteristics**

A summary of the baseline characteristics is shown in [Tables 1 and 2]. The included studies were classified into two groups.

The vitamin D deficiency group consisted of 22 studies that evaluated the correlation between serum Vit-D levels and various clinical outcomes. This group included 3979 patients with a mean age of 62 years, and the male-to-female ratio was four to three.

The vitamin D-supplemented group consisted of 20 studies that looked at how vitamin D supplementation affects different clinical outcomes. These studies included 4022 COVID patients with a mean age of 64 years, and the male-to-female ratio was six to five. The included studies took place in different countries with patients of different ethnicities.

**Table 1: A summary of the baseline characteristics of included studies that assess the effect of serum Vitamin D levels on several clinical outcomes in Covid-19 patients.**

| Study ID | Country/Centers | Year | Health status | Total Sample size | Age (yr) | SexM/F | Design of the study | Main findings |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Demir 2021 | Turkey | 2021 | Adult positive COVID-19 patients | 227 | 45.2 ± 17.6 | 98/129 | A Retrospective cohort | The risk of getting COVID-19 increased in vit-D deficient people. COVID-19 cases with sufficient vit-D levels significantly |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | showed shorter |
| Lau  2020 | USA | 2020 | COVID-19 patients | 20 | 65.2 ± 16 | 44/15 | A Retrospective observational cohort | Vitamin D insufficiency was associated with COVID-19 severity and ICU admission rates. |
| Bianconi 2021 | Italy | 2021 | Mild, moderate, and severe COVID-19 patients | 250 | 74 ± 15 | 110/90 | A prospective cohort study | No association between serum vit-D level and COVID-19 patients prognosis. |
| Bennouar 2021 | Algeria | 2020 | severe to critical cases of this infection. | 120 | 62.3 ± 17.6 | 83/37 | A prospective cohort study | Severe COVID-19 cases showed low serum calcium and vit-D levels. |
| Reis 2021 | Brazil | 2020 | Moderate to severe COVID-19 | 220 | 55.1 ± 14.6 | 117/103 | A prospective cohort study | Patients with reduced 25OHD levels (<10 ng/mL) showed longer hospitalization duration than those with higher levels. |
| Baktash 2020 | UK | 2020 | COVID-19 patients | 70 | 81 ± 9.25 | 42/28 | A prospective cohort study | Worse COVID-19 prognosis was associated with hypovitaminosis D and older ages. |
| Ricci 2021 | Italy | 2021 | COVID-19 patients | 52 | 68.4 ± 16.2 | 25/27 | retrospective | COVID-19 cases with hypovitaminosis D exhibited attenuated inflammatory response and increased respiratory involvement. |
| Campi 2021 | Italy | 2020 | Mild and severe COVID-19 patients | 361 | 66.1 ± 14.1 | 101/54 | A prospective cohort study | An inverse correlation was found between reduced 25OHD and elevated IL-6 concentrations, both levels independently predicted the severity and mortality of COVID-19. |
| Orchard 2021 | UK | 2020 | critically ill COVID-19 | 50* | 9.4 ± 12 | 28/22 | A cohort study | This small sized study didn't detected any difference in the prognosis of critical COVID-19 cases . |

| Herrera-Quintana 2021 | Granada (Spain) | 2021 | Severe ICU COVID-19 patients | 37 | 60.0 ± 10.2 | 26/11 | A prospective analytical study | High prevalence of vit-D deficiency in all critical patients at ICU admission, that increased after only three days of ICU stay. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sulli 2021 | Italy | 2021 | severe respiratory failure and all patients needed hospitalization | 130 | 76 ± 13 | 60/70 | A prospective cohort study | Vitamin D deficiency was significantly associated with higher risk of respiratory complications and death in elderly COVID-19 cases. |
| ÖZGER 2021 | Turkey | 2021 | Uncomplicated, mild and severe COVID-19 infections. | 196 | 44.2 ± 21.2 | 87/109 | A cohort study | Vitamin D deficiency was associated with higher risk of COVID-19 positivity and wasn't associated with the severity of the condition or the prognosis. |
| Maghbooli 2020 | Iran | 2020 | Severe COVID-19 infection | 235 | 58.7 ± 15.2 | 144/91 | A cohort study | Improving vitamin D status in the general population and in particular hospitalized patients has a potential benefit in reducing the severity of morbidities and mortality associated with acquiring COVID-19. |
| Jevalikar 2021 | India | 2021 | Hospitalized patients with COVID-19 infection | 409 | 52.4 ± 16.8 | 282/127 | A prospective, single-center, cross-sectional, observational study | No correlation between serum 25-OHD levels with COVID-19 prognosis or risk of death |
| Angelidi 2021 | USA | 2021 | Patients with COVID-19 infection | 144 | 65 ± 14.2 | 64/80 | A retrospective, observational, 2-center cohort study | An inverse correlation existed between 25OHD concentration and the rates of death and mechanical ventilation. |
| De Smet 2021 | Belgium | 2021 | Hospitalized patients with COVID-19 | 186 | 67 ± 21 | 109/77 | A retrospective observational | Reduced serum 25OHD concentration was associated |

| | | | infection | | | | study | with higher risk of death. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Charoenngam 2021 | USA | 2021 | Hospitalized patients with COVID-19 | 287 | 61.9 ± 15.8 | 124/163 | A retrospective chart review cross-sectional study | Sufficient serum 25-OHD levels independently decrease the death risk in older hospitalized COVID-19 cases. |
| AlSafar 2021 | UAE | 2021 | Patients with COVID-19 infection | 464 | 46.6 ± 14.9 | 372/92 | A multicenter observational study | Low serum 25OHD levels were associated with the severity of COVID-19 and related mortality. |
| Carpagnano 2021 | Italy | 2020 | Hospitalized adult inpatients with COVID-19 | 42 | 65 ± 13 | 30/12 | A retrospective, observational study | Severe hypovitaminosis D was significantly associated with a higher risk of death. |
| Radujkovic 2020 | Germany | 2020 | Consecutive symptomatic COVID-19-positive patients | 185 | 59.6 ± 15.7 | 95/90 | A prospective non-interventional register | This study demonstrated an association between Vit-D deficiency and mortality. |
| Vassiliou 2021 | Greece | 2021 | Consecutive COVID-19 patients | 39 | 61.2 ± 13 | 31/8 | An observational, single-center study | Patients with vit-D deficiency had a higher death risk. |
| Vassiliou 2020 | Greece | 2020 | Consecutive, critically ill COVID-19 patients | 30 | 65 ± 11 | 24/8 | A prospective, observational study | Patients who died in the ICU within 28 days showed lower 25OHD levels on ICU admission compared to survivors. |

**Table 2: A summary of the baseline characteristics of included studies that assess the effect of Vitamin D supply on several clinical outcomes on Covid-19 patients.**

Click here to view Table

**Risk of bias in evaluation**

*Using the Cochrane risk of bias tool for Randomized Control Trials (RCTs),* three studies: Castillo et al.[20], Mariani et al.[21], and Murai et al.[22] were of good quality; De Niet [23] was of fair quality; and the rest of the studies were of low quality[24-30]. [Supplementary Fig. 1].

*Based on the MINORS criteria for evaluating the quality of non-randomized studies,* comparative

studies had a range of 16 to 22 points, with a median of 20 points. Nogues et al.[31] had the highest score (22 points), while Yildiz et al.[32] had the lowest score (16 points). Non-comparative ones had a range of 6–13 points with a median of 10 points. Jevalikar et al.[33], and Reis et al.[34], had the highest score (13 points), while Vassiliou et al.[35], had the lowest score (6 points). [Sup. Table.1]

**Outcomes**

**Outcomes pooled analysis in vitamin D-deficient COVID-19 patients**

Regarding the mortality rate, the analysis of the pooled studies significantly favored the group with high serum Vit D levels (8.07%) over the group with low Vit D levels (14.09%) (RR = 1.54, 95% CI = 1.15: 2.05, *p* value = 0.01). Pooled results were homogeneous (*p* value = 0.37, I2 = 7.05%). [Fig.2a]. Concerning the rate of admission to the ICU (RR = 1.18, 95% CI = 0.97: 1.43, *p* value = 0.09; [Fig. 2b]); mechanical ventilation (RR = 1.06, 95% CI = 0.82: 1.37, p-value = 0.64; [Fig. 3a]); and length of hospitalization (MD = 0.05, 95% CI = -3.04: 3.14, SE = 1.58, *p* value = 0.97; [Fig. 3b]), pooled studies were heterogeneous.



**Figure 2: Forest plots of mortality (a) and ICU admission (b) on COVID-19 patients with vitamin D deficiency.**

Click here to view Figure



**Figure 3: Forest plots of mortality (a) and ICU admission (b) on COVID-19 patients with vitamin D deficiency.**

Click here to view Figure

**Outcomes pooled analysis in COVID-19 patients who received Vit-D supplementation vs. COVID-19 patients without Vit-D supplementation**

The analysis showed significant differences between the two groups. Regarding the mortality rate, the pooled effect estimate revealed that the Vit-D group had a significantly lower mortality rate (9.7%) than the control group (15.29%), and the results were statistically significant (RR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.45: 0.92, *p-value* = 0.02). The studies were diverse (*p-value* = 0.01, I2 = 57.575%). [Fig.4a]

Regarding the rate of admission to the ICU and mechanical ventilation, the Vit-D receiving group had significantly (*p-value* = 0.01) fewer admission rates than the control group (RR = 0.48, 95% CI = 0.25: 0.85, *p-value* =0.01) and (RR = 0.70, 95% CI = 0.57: 0.87) [Fig. 4b, Fig. 5a], respectively.



**Figure 4: Forest plots of mechanical ventilation (a) and hospitalization duration (b) on COVID-19 patients with vitamin D supply.**

Click here to view Figure

**Figure 5: Forest plots of mechanical ventilation (a) and hospitalization duration (b) on COVID-19 patients with vitamin D supply.**

Click here to view Figure

Concerning the length of hospitalization, vitamin D supplementation was significantly (*p-value* = 0.01) associated with shorter hospitalization duration (MD = -1.28, 95% CI). CI = -2.23, -0.34, SE = 0.48). The studies were homogenous (*p-value* = 0.08, $I^2$ = 44.38%). [Fig.5b]

**Subgroup and sensitivity analysis**

The analysis of the subgroup of different Vit-D doses for mortality revealed a significant reduction in mortality in the group that received doses ranging from 50 000 to less than 100 000 IU compared to groups that received doses ranging from 50 000 IU to > 100 000 IU. The pooled data were homogeneous (*p-value*= 0.73, I2 = 0%) (RR = 0.42, 95% CI = 0.23: 0.76, *p-value*=0.005).

We conducted a subgroup analysis according to Vit-D levels in COVID patients. The results of the analysis didn't show any clear differences in mortality or ICU admission rates among the groups with high and low levels of Vit-D.

We discovered a significant reduction in mortality rates of receiving Vit-D supplementation over placebo after excluding ICU admission rates after excluding Murai et al. (RR = 0.23, 95% CI = 0.09: 0.56, *p-value*= 0.01) and Nogues et al (RR = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.46: 0.98, *p-value*= 0.04)[36,22].

We discovered that high Vit-D levels outperformed low Vit-D levels in ICU admission rates after excluding Campi et al.[37] and mechanical ventilation rates after excluding Jevalikar et al.[33], while low concentration levels outperformed high concentration levels in hospital duration outcomes after excluding Demir et al.[38].

**Discussion**

**Vitamin D deficiency and the clinical outcome among COVID-19 patients**

*As regards the clinical outcome*, our analysis significantly favored the high serum Vit-D concentration group over the low concentration group as regards hospitalization duration, ICU admission rates, and the need for mechanical ventilation. In agreement with other studies that found evidence of a link between vitamin D deficiency and the severity of COVID-19 and associated deaths[7,39,40]. Moreover, other systematic reviews and meta-analyses revealed a negative correlation between serum vitamin D levels and the severity of COVID-19 patients [8, 41-43].

*Concerning SARS-CoV-2 infection rates*, numerous observational studies [44-47] investigated the low levels of serum Vit-D as a risk factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection, including a previous retrospective observational study, which included 191,779 COVID-19 patients, that proved that the rates of SARS-CoV-2 positivity were independently associated with lower circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels (25-OHD). Even though the 25-OHD level is important for all races and ethnicities, patients from predominantly black non-Hispanic zip codes had a higher SARS-CoV-2 positivity rate than patients from predominantly white non-Hispanic zip codes with the same 25-OHD level. This suggests that patients' ethnicity may affect their risk of getting COVID-19.

This vitamin D deficiency might be attributed to several hypotheses that had been tested in order to determine the relationship between Vit-D and COVID-19. First, vitamin D activates cathelicidin (LL-37), and defLL-37 acts at various stages of viral infection, regardless of whether the virus is enveloped or not. Increased serum levels of OREL-37 are known to correlate with decreased expression of interleukin (IL-17), which is implicated in COVID-19 pathophysiology, including the development of thrombosis and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)[48-51]. As a result, the association between vitamin D and the severity and acute complications of COVID-19 may be explained by an increase in IL-17. Second, vitamin D modulates cytokine production by upregulating anti-inflammatory cytokines like IL-10 and downregulating pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-1, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha. This transition from pro-inflammatory to anti-inflammatory cytokines can minimize the danger of the cytokine storm induced by the COVID-19 infection. Finally, Vit-D stimulates the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), which are important in lung protection against ARDS due to their anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties. In fact, ACE2 is proven to protect

against lethal avian influenza [53-55] and may protect against acute lung injury [7, 39, 40, 46, 47, 56].

**Vitamin D supplementation and the clinical outcome among COVID-19 patients**

As regards the clinical outcomes, this meta-analysis showed that Vit-D supplements significantly improved the clinical outcome (mortality rates, duration of hospitalization , ICU admission rates, and mechanical ventilation) in COVID-19 patients who received Vit-D supplements versus patients who did not receive any supplementation. In agreement with other studies that reported the beneficial effect of Vit-D supplements in improving the clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients, especially mortality and ICU admission rates, this was confirmed in multiple observational and experimental investigations[56-60], whether administered before or after a COVID-19 diagnosis.

Nevertheless, contradictory evidence suggests that there is no link between Vit-D administration and clinical outcomes [22, 33, 61]. The majority of these studies did not give risk estimates for clinical outcomes that were adjusted for potential confounding factors [22, 33, 61]. We believe that the present meta-analysis introduces comprehensive pooled data regarding the response of COVID-19 patients to Vit-D supplementation. However, only three trials were included in the meta-analysis. Moreover, the authors published only unadjusted risk estimates, omitting to account for potential confounding variables [22]. In contrast, we combined data from 13 studies and offered both the adjusted and unadjusted risk estimates in order to draw more reliable and generalizable conclusions.

As regards the timing of administering Vit-D, the subgroup analysis revealed that administering Vit-D after a COVID-19 diagnosis is more beneficial than receiving it before the diagnosis, which is consistent with the other two studies that were included in the subgroup analysis and used a cumulatively high dose of cholecalciferol and calcifediol [57,58]. On the other hand, detecting the optimum dose and duration of Vit-D administration as a possible adjuvant treatment for COVID-19 needs further exploration.

Except for Murai et al. and Lakkireddy et al.[22-27], no study addressed the effect of vitamin D supplementation on increasing serum 25-OHD levels. Despite the inability to determine the precise dose of 25-OHD required to produce its immunomodulatory effect, levels of 25OHD greater than 30 ng/ml are thought to cause a significant reduction in COVID-19 severity and mortality[62].

Relating to the number of doses of Vit-D administration, without detecting serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels, the most effective treatment plan, whether a single high-dose bolus or a daily modest dose of Vit-D, is still unknown. High-dose bolus vitamin D stimulates the long-term production of 24-hydroxylase and fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF23). Increased production of 24-hydroxylase results in the conversion of 25-OHD to the inactive metabolite 24,25-dihydroxy Vit-D, and FGF23 results in the inactivation of the enzyme renal 1-hydroxylase, reducing the active metabolite calcitriol. A daily vitamin D intake, on the other hand, has a longer-lasting effect on 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels[64].In this manner, receiving Vit-D maintenance doses after a single bolus dose is anticipated to maintain adequate vitamin D levels for an extended period.

Despite evidence of high heterogeneity among trials, Vit-D supplementation was safe and lowered the risk of COVID-19 outcomes. Protection was associated with daily 400-1000 IU vitamin D supplementation for up to 12 months. Unknown and requiring examination is the significance of these findings for COVID-19 [68].

**Doses of vitamin D supplements for mortality among COVID-19 patients**

Two previous meta-analyses[65, 66] revealed that daily low doses of vitamin D were effective in the prevention of acute respiratory tract infections. The purpose of our study was to examine whether the subgroup of different vitamin D doses was related to the mortality rate, and we found that the group that received doses between 50 000 to 100 000 IU, performed significantly better than the other groups. This may be because physiological doses of Vit-D supplementation manage to achieve commonly accepted levels of 25-OHD when compared to larger doses, as proposed by Binkley and colleagues[67].

In this light, high doses of vitamin D could produce "drug-like" effects not found with "supplement" dosages. So that we would have the best chance of finding a good effect in patients with life-threatening COVID-19, the dosing schedule for our trial was set up to quickly reach and keep serum levels that were as high as could be done safely[68]. The risk of these adverse events increases when serum concentrations of 25-OHD are greater than 125 nmol/L.

**Strength**

This systematic review and meta-analysis search was carried out from PubMed, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Web of Science, and EBSCO, for relevant studies for the long period up to May 2022, targeting 8001 COVID-19 patients. We studied the association between four central clinical outcomes, the mortality rates, duration of hospitalization, ICU admission rates, and mechanical ventilation rates, related to vitamin D deficiency and its supplementation in patients affected by COVID-19, searching for the most adequate doses which improved the clinical outcomes in these patients. This systematic review may contribute to confirming the relationship between vitamin D deficiency and COVID-19, and it also provided strong indications on the role of Vit-D supplementation in improving patients and determining the most appropriate doses.

### Limitations

Despite our strengths, the meta-analysis showed several flaws. First, some studies did not provide adjusted estimates, so we could not include them in the adjusted pooled analysis. Additionally, the covariates in the included research were inconsistent, and the OR/HR calculated from the studies was corrected for various factors. Second, vitamin D was administered to all patients regardless of their baseline 25-OHD levels in most studies, which limited the ability to detect the difference in the effect of receiving vitamin D on people with and without hypovitaminosis D. All studies rarely told us what the 25-OHD levels were at the start, so we couldn't do a subgroup analysis based on the 25-OHD levels at the start.

Third, the time lag between the development of COVID-19 symptoms and the supplementation of Vit-D was insufficiently described, so conducting a subgroup analysis based on this time lag was not possible. Notably, vitamin D administration occurred 10.3 days (on average) after the onset of symptoms, which may have negated the positive effects of vitamin D if supplemented earlier in the disease course. Fourth, reporting the data on COVID-19 severity was infrequent and inconsistent across all studies, which limited performing a subgroup analysis depending on the severity of the underlying condition. In addition, conducting another subgroup study displaying the effect of vitamin D administration on men and women would have been beneficial in light of the abundance of evidence showing intersex variations in COVID-19 severity. However, this was not possible due to the absence of such data.

### Conclusion

This systematic review and meta-analysis came to the conclusion that compared to COVID-19 cases with hypovitaminosis D, cases with normal vitamin D levels significantly showed lower mortality rates. Vitamin D supplementation greatly improved death rates, length of hospital stays, ICU admission rates, and the need for mechanical ventilation, especially when this vitamin was given to patients after their diagnosis of COVID-19. Vitamin D supplementation between 50 000 to 100 000 IU, showed among COVID-19 patients significantly outperformed other doses in terms of mortality.

### Recommendations

The detection of COVID-19 and the optimization of the dose and duration of Vitamin D administration as a possible adjuvant treatment require further investigation.

### Acknowledgments

### Competing Interests

### Funding Sources

### References

1. WHO. Coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard. s. f.
2. Ramasamy S, Subbian S. Critical Determinants of Cytokine Storm and Type I Interferon Response in COVID-19 Pathogenesis. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2021;34.
   CrossRef
3. Zhou F. Y u T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020;395:1054-62.
   CrossRef
4. Li J, Huang DQ, Zou B, Yang H, Hui WZ, Rui F, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical characteristics, risk factors, and

outcomes. Journal of Medical Virology. 2021;93:1449-58.
CrossRef

5. Gold MS, Sehayek D, Gabrielli S, Zhang X, McCusker C, Ben-Shoshan M. COVID-19 and comorbidities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Postgraduate Medicine. 2020;132:749-55.
CrossRef

6. Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, Rajagopalan H, O'Donnell L, Chernyak Y, et al. Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2020:m1966.
CrossRef

7. Munshi R, Hussein MH, Toraih EA, Elshazli RM, Jardak C, Sultana N, et al. Vitamin D insufficiency as a potential culprit in critical COVID-19 patients. Journal of Medical Virology. 2021;93:733-40.
CrossRef

8. Pereira M, Dantas Damascena A, Galvão Azevedo LM, de Almeida Oliveira T, da Mota Santana J. Vitamin D deficiency aggravates COVID-19: systematic review and meta-analysis. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 2022;62:1308-16.
CrossRef

9. Holick MF. Vitamin D Deficiency. New England Journal of Medicine. 2007;357:266-81.
CrossRef

10. Grant W, Lahore H, McDonnell S, Baggerly C, French C, Aliano J, et al. Evidence that Vitamin D Supplementation Could Reduce Risk of Influenza and COVID-19 Infections and Deaths. Nutrients. 2020;12:988.
CrossRef

11. Gombart AF, Pierre A, Maggini S. A Review of Micronutrients and the Immune System–Working in Harmony to Reduce the Risk of Infection. Nutrients. 2020;12:236.
CrossRef

12. Weir EK, Thenappan T, Bhargava M, Chen Y. Does vitamin D deficiency increase the severity of COVID-19? Clinical Medicine. 2020;20:e107-8.
CrossRef

13. Mohammad, Mishra, Ashraf. Emerging Role of Vitamin D and its Associated Molecules in Pathways Related to Pathogenesis of Thrombosis. Biomolecules. 2019;9:649.
CrossRef

14. Lei G-S, Zhang C, Cheng B-H, Lee C-H. Mechanisms of Action of Vitamin D as Supplemental Therapy for Pneumocystis Pneumonia. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2017;61.
CrossRef

15. Suhail S, Zajac J, Fossum C, Lowater H, McCracken C, Severson N, et al. Role of Oxidative Stress on SARS-CoV (SARS) and SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Infection: A Review. The Protein Journal. 2020;39:644-56.
CrossRef

16. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 2009;62:e1-34.
CrossRef

17. Higgins JPT, Sterne JAC, Savovic J, Page MJ, Hróbjartsson A, Boutron I, et al. A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized trials. Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2016;10:29-31.

18. Slim K, Nini E, Forestier D, Kwiatkowski F, Panis Y, Chipponi J. Methodological index for non-randomized studies (MINORS): development and validation of a new instrument. ANZ journal of surgery. 2003;73:712-6.
CrossRef

19. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. Bmj. 2003;327:557-60.
CrossRef

20. Castillo ME, Costa LME, Barrios JMV, Díaz JFA, Miranda JL, Bouillon R, et al. Effect of calcifediol treatment and best available therapy versus best available therapy on intensive care unit admission and mortality among patients hospitalized for COVID-19: A pilot randomized clinical study. The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology. 2020;203:105751.
CrossRef

21. Mariani J, Antonietti L, Tajer C, Ferder L, Inserra F, Cunto MS, et al. High-dose vitamin D versus placebo to prevent complications in COVID-19 patients: Multicentre randomized controlled clinical trial. PLoS ONE. 2022;17:1-16.
CrossRef

22. Murai IH, Fernandes AL, Sales LP, Pinto AJ, Goessler KF, Duran CSC, et al. Effect of a single high dose of vitamin D3 on hospital length of stay in patients with moderate to severe COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. Jama. 2021;325:1053-60.
CrossRef

23. De Niet S, Trémège M, Coffiner M, Rousseau A-F, Calmes D, Frix A-N, et al. Positive effects of vitamin D supplementation in patients hospitalized for COVID-19: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Nutrients. 2022;14:3048.
CrossRef

24. Torres M, Casado G, Vigón L, Rodríguez-Mora S, Mateos E, Ramos-Martín F, et al. Changes in the immune response against SARS-CoV-2 in individuals with severe COVID-19 treated with high dose of vitamin D. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2022;150:112965.
CrossRef

25. Cannata-Andía JB, Díaz-Sottolano A, Fernández P, Palomo-Antequera C, Herrero-Puente P, Mouzo R, et al. A single-oral bolus of 100,000 IU of cholecalciferol at hospital admission did not improve outcomes in the COVID-19 disease: the COVID-VIT-D—a randomised multicentre international clinical trial. BMC medicine. 2022;20:1-13.
CrossRef

26. Annweiler C, Beaudenon M, Gautier J, Gonsard J, Boucher S, Chapelet G, et al. High-dose versus standard-dose vitamin D supplementation in older adults with COVID-19 (COVIT-TRIAL): A multicenter, open-label, randomized controlled superiority trial. PLoS Medicine. 2022;19:1-18.
CrossRef

27. Lakkireddy M, Gadiga SG, Malathi RD, Karra ML, Raju ISSVPM, Ragini, et al. Impact of daily high dose oral vitamin D therapy on the inflammatory markers in patients with COVID 19 disease. Scientific Reports. 2021;11:1-8.
CrossRef

28. Soliman AR, Abdelaziz TS, Fathy A. Impact of Vitamin D Therapy on the Progress COVID-19: Six Weeks Follow-Up Study of Vitamin D Deficient Elderly Diabetes Patients. Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare. 2021;0:1-5.
CrossRef

29. Rastogi A, Bhansali A, Khare N, Suri V, Yaddanapudi N, Sachdeva N, et al. Short term, high-dose vitamin D supplementation for COVID-19 disease: A randomised, placebo-controlled, study (SHADE study). Postgraduate Medical Journal. 2022;98:87-90.
CrossRef

30. Elamir YM, Amir H, Lim S, Rana YP, Lopez CG, Feliciano NV, et al. A randomized pilot study using calcitriol in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Bone. 2022;154.
CrossRef

31. Nogues X, Ovejero D, Pineda-Moncusí M, Bouillon R, Arenas D, Pascual J, et al. Calcifediol treatment and COVID-19-related outcomes. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2021;106:e4017-27.
CrossRef

32. Yildiz M, Senel MU, Kavurgaci S, Ozturk FE, Ozturk A. The prognostic significance of vitamin D deficiency in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Bratislavske Lekarske Listy. 2021;122:744-7.
CrossRef

33. Jevalikar G, Mithal A, Singh A, Sharma R, Farooqui KJ, Mahendru S, et al. Lack of association of baseline 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels with disease severity and mortality in Indian patients hospitalized for COVID-19. Scientific reports. 2021;11:1-8.
CrossRef

34. Reis BZ, Fernandes AL, Sales LP, Santos MD, Dos Santos CC, Pinto AJ, et al. Influence of vitamin D status on hospital length of stay and prognosis in hospitalized patients with moderate to severe COVID-19: a multicenter prospective cohort study. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2021;114:598-604.
CrossRef

35. Vassiliou AG, Jahaj E, Pratikaki M, Keskinidou C, Detsika M, Grigoriou E, et al. Vitamin D deficiency correlates with a reduced number of natural killer cells in intensive care unit (ICU) and non-ICU patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Hellenic Journal of Cardiology. 2021;62:381.
CrossRef

36. Nogues X, Ovejero D, Pineda-Moncusí M, Bouillon R, Arenas D, Pascual J, et al. Calcifediol treatment and COVID-19-related outcomes. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2021;106:e4017-27.
CrossRef

37. Campi I, Gennari L, Merlotti D, Mingiano C, Frosali A, Giovanelli L, et al. Vitamin D and COVID-19 severity and related mortality: a prospective study in Italy. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2021;21:1-13.
CrossRef

38. Demir M, Demir F, Aygun H. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with COVID-19 positivity and severity of the disease. Journal of Medical Virology. 2021;93:2992-9.
CrossRef

39. Radujkovic A, Hippchen T, Tiwari-Heckler S, Dreher S, Boxberger M, Merle U. Vitamin D deficiency and outcome of COVID-19 patients. Nutrients. 2020;12:2757.
CrossRef

40. Mariani J, Giménez VMM, Bergam I, Tajer C, Antonietti L, Inserra F, et al. Association between vitamin D deficiency and COVID-19 incidence, complications, and mortality in 46 countries: an ecological study. Health security. 2021;19:302-8.
CrossRef

41. Bassatne A, Basbous M, Chakhtoura M, El Zein O, Rahme M, Fuleihan GE-H. The link between COVID-19 and VItamin D (VIVID): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Metabolism. 2021;119:154753.
CrossRef

42. Teshome A, Adane A, Girma B, Mekonnen ZA. The impact of vitamin D level on COVID-19

infection: systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in public health. 2021;9:624559.
CrossRef

43. Akbar MR, Wibowo A, Pranata R, Setiabudiawan B. Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (vitamin D) level is associated with susceptibility to COVID-19, severity, and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in nutrition. 2021;8:660420.
CrossRef

44. Kaufman HW, Niles JK, Kroll MH, Bi C, Holick MF. SARS-CoV-2 positivity rates associated with circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels. PloS one. 2020;15:e0239252.
CrossRef

45. Heaney RP. Guidelines for optimizing design and analysis of clinical studies of nutrient effects. Nutrition reviews. 2014;72:48-54.
CrossRef

46. Pal R, Ram S, Zohmangaihi D, Biswas I, Suri V, Yaddanapudi LN, et al. High prevalence of hypocalcemia in non-severe COVID-19 patients: a retrospective case-control study. Frontiers in medicine. 2021;7:590805.
CrossRef

47. Meltzer DO, Best TJ, Zhang H, Vokes T, Arora V, Solway J. Association of vitamin D status and other clinical characteristics with COVID-19 test results. JAMA network open. 2020;3:e2019722-e2019722.
CrossRef

48. Grant WB, Lahore H, Rockwell MS. The benefits of vitamin D supplementation for athletes: better performance and reduced risk of COVID-19. Nutrients. 2020;12:3741.
CrossRef

49. Brice DC, Diamond G. Antiviral activities of human host defense peptides. Current medicinal chemistry. 2020;27:1420-43.
CrossRef

50. Raucci F, Mansour AA, Casillo GM, Saviano A, Caso F, Scarpa R, et al. Interleukin-17A (IL-17A), a key molecule of innate and adaptive immunity, and its potential involvement in COVID-19-related thrombotic and vascular mechanisms. Autoimmunity Reviews. 2020;19:102572.
CrossRef

51. Pacha O, Sallman MA, Evans SE. COVID-19: a case for inhibiting IL-17? Nature Reviews Immunology. 2020;20:345-6.
CrossRef

52. Bilezikian JP, Bikle D, Hewison M, Lazaretti-Castro M, Formenti AM, Gupta A, et al. Mechanisms in endocrinology: vitamin D and COVID-19. European journal of endocrinology. 2020;183:R133-47.
CrossRef

53. Malek Mahdavi A. A brief review of interplay between vitamin D and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2: Implications for a potential treatment for COVID-19. Reviews in medical virology. 2020;30:e2119.
CrossRef

54. Pal R, Bhansali A. COVID-19, diabetes mellitus and ACE2: the conundrum. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2020;162.
CrossRef

55. Zou Z, Yan Y, Shu Y, Gao R, Sun Y, Li X, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 protects from lethal avian influenza A H5N1 infections. Nature communications. 2014;5:1-7.
CrossRef

56. Baktash V, Hosack T, Patel N, Shah S, Kandiah P, Van den Abbeele K, et al. Vitamin D status and outcomes for hospitalised older patients with COVID-19. Postgraduate medical journal. 2021;97:442-7.
CrossRef

57. Giannini S, Passeri G, Tripepi G, Sella S, Fusaro M, Arcidiacono G, et al. Effectiveness of in-hospital cholecalciferol use on clinical outcomes in comorbid COVID-19 patients: a hypothesis-generating study. Nutrients. 2021;13:219.
CrossRef

58. Ling SF, Broad E, Murphy R, Pappachan JM, Pardesi-Newton S, Kong M-F, et al. High-dose cholecalciferol booster therapy is associated with a reduced risk of mortality in patients with COVID-19: a cross-sectional multi-centre observational study. Nutrients. 2020;12:3799.
CrossRef

59. Annweiler C, Hanotte B, de l'Eprevier CG, Sabatier J-M, Lafaie L, Célarier T. Vitamin D and survival in COVID-19 patients: A quasi-experimental study. The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology. 2020;204:105771.
CrossRef

60. Annweiler G, Corvaisier M, Gautier J, Dubée V, Legrand E, Sacco G, et al. Vitamin D supplementation associated to better survival in hospitalized frail elderly COVID-19 patients: the GERIA-COVID quasi-experimental study. Nutrients. 2020;12:3377.
CrossRef

61. Cereda E, Bogliolo L, Lobascio F, Barichella M, Zecchinelli AL, Pezzoli G, et al. Vitamin D supplementation and outcomes in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients from the outbreak area of Lombardy, Italy. Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif). 2021;82:111055.

CrossRef

62. Maghbooli Z, Sahraian MA, Ebrahimi M, Pazoki M, Kafan S, Tabriz HM, et al. Vitamin D sufficiency, a serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D at least 30 ng/mL reduced risk for adverse clinical outcomes in patients with COVID-19 infection. PloS one. 2020;15:e0239799.
CrossRef

63. Griffin G, Hewison M, Hopkin J, Kenny RA, Quinton R, Rhodes J, et al. Perspective: Vitamin D supplementation prevents rickets and acute respiratory infections when given as daily maintenance but not as intermittent bolus: implications for COVID-19. Clinical Medicine. 2021;21:e144.
CrossRef

64. Ketha H, Thacher TD, Oberhelman SS, Fischer PR, Singh RJ, Kumar R. Comparison of the effect of daily versus bolus dose maternal vitamin D3 supplementation on the 24, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 to 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 ratio. Bone. 2018;110:321-5.
CrossRef

65. Jolliffe DA, Camargo Jr CA, Sluyter JD, Aglipay M, Aloia JF, Ganmaa D, et al. Vitamin D supplementation to prevent acute respiratory infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis of aggregate data from randomised controlled trials. The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology. 2021;9:276-92.
CrossRef

66. Iversen HH, Bjertnæs ØA, Skudal KE. Patient evaluation of hospital outcomes: an analysis of open-ended comments from extreme clusters in a national survey. BMJ open. 2014;4:e004848.
CrossRef

67. Binkley N, Ramamurthy R, Krueger D. Low vitamin D status: definition, prevalence, consequences, and correction. Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics. 2010;39:287-301.
CrossRef

68. Rake C, Gilham C, Bukasa L, Ostler R, Newton M, Wild JP, et al. High-dose oral vitamin D supplementation and mortality in people aged 65-84 years: the VIDAL cluster feasibility RCT of open versus double-blind individual randomisation. Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England). 2020;24:1.
CrossRef

69. Bandeira L, Lazaretti-Castro M, Binkley N. Clinical aspects of SARS-CoV-2 infection and vitamin D. Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders. 2021:1-5.
CrossRef

**Abbreviations**

25-hydroxyvitamin D (25-OHD)

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)

Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)

Confidence interval (CI)

Hazard ratio (HR)

International Unit (IU)

Mean difference (MD)

Odds ratio (OR)

Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, and Study Design(PICOs)

Randomized Control Trials (RCTs (

Randomized controlled trial (RCT)

Renin-angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS)

Risk ratio (RR)

The Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)

The Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies scale (MINORS)

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)

Titles and abstracts (TAs)

Vitamin D (Vit.D)

## Links

About

Archives

Article Processing Charges

Coming Issue

Contact

Current Issue

Order Print Issue

Reviewers-2021

Social Media

Submission

## Contact Us

Your Name (required)

Your Email (required)

Your Message

A 8 K F

Type the above text in box below (Case sensitive)

Complte the quiz
3+2=?

Send

## Follow us on:

## License

## Recent Articles

Glycaemic Indices of the Traditional Sago-based Snack and Staple Food in Sarawak, Malaysia

Ice Cream Consumption Preferences in Sullana, Peru

Bioaccessibility of Antioxidant Capacity of Wedang Uwuh a Traditional Indonesian Beverage by In Vitro Gastrointestinal Digestion