



Invited Commentary | Oncology

# The Death D-Fying Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> for Digestive Tract Cancers— The p53 Antibody Connection

Michael F. Holick, PhD, MD

In 2019, Urashima et al<sup>1</sup> reported results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial that evaluated the efficacy of improving relapse-free survival for patients with cancers of the digestive tract who received 2000 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation daily for 8 years. Based on the results from this clinical trial, the authors concluded that vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation did not improve relapse-free survival at 5 years. In this issue of *JAMA Network Open*, Kanno et al<sup>2</sup> report a post hoc subgroup analysis of this clinical trial. They evaluated the p53-immunoreactive subgroup defined by positivity for both anti-p53 antibodies in serum and nuclear accumulation of p53 by immunohistochemistry in more than 99% of cancer cells, which was considered a biomarker for p53-missense mutations. Patients who had detectable serum anti-p53 antibody and received 2000 IU daily had a significant, more than 2.5-fold improvement in relapse or death compared with the placebo group that had detectable p53 immunoreactivity. The observed 27% absolute risk reduction translates to a number needed to treat of 4. In those patients who had no p53 immunoreactivity, 2000 IU of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> daily provided insignificant benefit for 5-year relapse-free survival.

One of the first studies to find an association of sun exposure (a surrogate for improved vitamin D status) with reduced risk of cancer was reported in 1916.<sup>3</sup> A multitude of additional studies found that living at higher latitudes was associated with increased risk for mortality from cancer.<sup>3</sup> In the 1990s, a strong significant negative correlation with colon cancer mortality and mean daily solar radiation in the US was observed. This was quickly followed by the observation in an 8-year prospective case-control study that the risk of getting colon cancer was 3-fold lower in people with a serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, or 25(OH)D, level greater than 20 ng/mL.<sup>3</sup> Several epidemiologic studies and other clinical studies, including the Women's Health Initiative, observed that vitamin D deficiency was associated with greater risk for development of colorectal cancer.<sup>3</sup> A quantitative meta-analysis on optimal vitamin D status for colorectal cancer prevention reported a 50% risk reduction associated with a serum 25(OH)D concentration of 34 ng/mL.<sup>3</sup> The polymorphisms for the vitamin D receptor (VDR) also have been associated with colorectal cancer risk.<sup>3</sup>

The *TP53* gene produces the protein p53, which suppresses cancer by controlling cell division, DNA repair, and apoptosis, and has been called "the guardian of the genome."<sup>4</sup> Vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, through its active form, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>, or 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>, binds the VDR to regulate cellular proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, and angiogenesis, all related to its potential anticancer activities.<sup>3</sup>

Approximately 50% of human cancers carry p53 mutations resulting in overproduction of mutant p53 (mutp53). These mutations not only result in loss of tumor-suppressing activities but also inactivate wild-type p53. Interestingly, mutp53 binds to the promoter region of the VDR responsive elements. This interaction is thought to elicit an antiapoptotic state and reduce the 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>-VDR's ability to upregulate expression of proapoptotic genes.<sup>5,6</sup>

The Vitamin D and Omega-3 Trial (VITAL; [NCT01169259](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01169259)) evaluated in a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled fashion the effect of 2000 IU of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and marine omega-3 fatty acids on cancer outcomes and concluded that there was no benefit of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation for reducing risk of cancer. However, the authors acknowledged that there was a significant 25% reduction in cancer mortality. A secondary analysis of this study<sup>7</sup> revealed that supplementation with 2000 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub> daily modestly reduced the incidence of metastatic and

## + Related article

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

**Open Access.** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

fatal cancer in the overall cohort. However, when this cohort was stratified for body mass index (BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared), those with a BMI less than 25 had a hazard ratio of 0.62 (95% CI, 0.45-0.86), whereas for those with a BMI greater than 30, the hazard ratio was 1.05 (95% CI, 0.74-1.49), demonstrating that normal-weight participants (BMI <25) benefited the most from the vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation.<sup>7</sup> A randomized controlled double-blind clinical trial that assessed the effect of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation (600, 4000, or 10 000 IU daily for 6 months) on broad gene expression demonstrated a dose-dependent 25(OH)D<sub>3</sub> alteration in broad gene expression, with 162, 320, and 1289 genes upregulated or downregulated in their white blood cells, respectively. In the group that received 10 000 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub> daily and raised their blood concentrations in the range of 50 to 100 ng/mL, 50% had a robust gene expression of greater than 5% of their genome, whereas the other 50% only expressed 2% to 5% of their genome. This demonstrated individual differences in gene responsiveness to the same vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation dose, with the participants attaining the same serum concentration of 25(OH)D.<sup>8</sup> This observation may help explain why high-dose vitamin D with chemotherapy resulted in a difference in mean progression-free survival that was not statistically significant but with a significantly improved supportive hazard ratio.<sup>9</sup>

The observation by Kanno et al<sup>2</sup> is a game changer for vitamin D and cancer. It provides an additional variable in our understanding of whether improving vitamin D status has any benefit for reducing risk of developing cancer as well as improving relapse-free and mortality outcomes. For more than 100 years, sunlight and vitamin D deficiency has been associated with the risk for many deadly cancers, including colorectal, prostate, and breast.<sup>3</sup> However, there has been great skepticism as to whether this nutrient/hormone provides any benefit for reducing cancer risk and the morbidity and mortality associated with cancer. Several randomized clinical trials supported this skepticism.<sup>1,7</sup> There are a variety of variables that can influence how vitamin D prevents and responds to cancer, including BMI, VDR polymorphisms, enhanced vitamin D catabolism, gene responsiveness to 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>, and the negative interaction that mutp53 has on the 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>-VDR's ability to prevent and control cancer cell growth.<sup>3,5,6,10</sup> It would be worthwhile to retrospectively, when possible, conduct a post hoc analysis for serum p53 antibodies and the immunohistochemistry presence for p53 in histologic cancer samples of studies that evaluated the potential benefit of vitamin D supplementation for improvement in cancer survival and found no benefit. More importantly, future studies evaluating vitamin D supplementation for the prevention of cancer and improvement of cancer outcomes should now include not only many of the variables mentioned above but also a measurement for p53 antibodies and immunohistochemical presence of p53. The results of the study by Kanno et al<sup>2</sup> support the preponderance of association and clinical studies<sup>3,7</sup> concluding that improvement in vitamin D status can be an effective strategy for promoting cancer remission and reducing cancer mortality. It is also important to recognize that most of the studies that have demonstrated a beneficial effect for reducing cancer risk and improving clinical outcomes have used at least 2000 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub> daily and raising circulating concentrations of 25(OH)D above 30 ng/mL without any significant untoward toxic effects.<sup>1-3,7</sup> It is well documented that to achieve a circulating concentration of 25(OH)D above 30 ng/mL requires a vitamin D intake of at least 2000 IU daily, an amount that can only be obtained from a vitamin D supplement or by being a hunter-gatherer like Maasai herders and the Hadza, who maintain circulating concentrations of 25(OH)D above 30 ng/mL as a result of their daily exposure to sunlight.<sup>3</sup>

This important new observation by Kanno et al<sup>2</sup> requires confirmation. It would be prudent, based on all available evidence, that patients with cancer consider improving their vitamin D status with 2000 IU daily to reduce morbidity and mortality associated with their cancer, except for those patients who have a hypersensitivity to vitamin D, including patients with granulomatous disorders and some lymphomas.

## ARTICLE INFORMATION

**Published:** August 22, 2023. doi:[10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.28883](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.28883)

**Open Access:** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). © 2023 Holick MF. *JAMA Network Open*.

**Corresponding Author:** Michael F. Holick, PhD, MD, Departments of Medicine, Pharmacology, Physiology and Biophysics and Molecular Medicine, Chobanian & Avedisian Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118 ([mfholick@bu.edu](mailto:mfholick@bu.edu)).

**Author Affiliation:** Departments of Medicine, Pharmacology, Physiology and Biophysics and Molecular Medicine, Chobanian & Avedisian Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Holick reported grants from Carbogen Amcis and Solius; personal fees from Biogena, Sanofi, Faes Farma, *Eric Anthony Nepute, Quickwork LLC vs US*, Hypera Pharma, Pulse Pharmaceuticals, and Menarini India; and nonfinancial support from Ontometrics outside the submitted work; in addition, Dr Holick had a patent for Novel Use of 25 Hydroxyvitamin D pending for Carbogen Amcis BV and Aamanya AG.

**Additional Contributions:** I am grateful to Arash Shirvani, MD, PhD, Nipith Charoenngam, MD, and Nazli Ucar, MSc, for their careful reading and helpful suggestions for this Commentary. They were not compensated for these contributions.

## REFERENCES

1. Urashima M, Ohdaira H, Akutsu T, et al. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on relapse-free survival among patients with digestive tract cancers: the AMATERASU randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2019;321(14):1361-1369. doi:[10.1001/jama.2019.2210](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.2210)
2. Kanno K, Akutsu T, Ohdaira H, Suzuki Y, Urashima M. Effect of vitamin D supplements on relapse or death in a p53-immunoreactive subgroup with digestive tract cancer: post hoc analysis of the AMATERASU randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023;6(8):e2328886. doi:[10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.28886](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.28886)
3. Wacker M, Holick MF. Sunlight and vitamin D: a global perspective for health. *Dermatoendocrinol*. 2013;5(1):51-108. doi:[10.4161/derm.24494](https://doi.org/10.4161/derm.24494)
4. Surget S, Khoury MP, Bourdon JC. Uncovering the role of p53 splice variants in human malignancy: a clinical perspective. *Onco Targets Ther*. 2013;7:57-68. doi:[10.2147/OTT.S53876](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S53876)
5. Sabapathy K, Lane DP. Understanding p53 functions through p53 antibodies. *J Mol Cell Biol*. 2019;11(4):317-329. doi:[10.1093/jmcb/mjz010](https://doi.org/10.1093/jmcb/mjz010)
6. Stambolsky P, Tabach Y, Fontemaggi G, et al. Modulation of the vitamin D<sub>3</sub> response by cancer-associated mutant p53. *Cancer Cell*. 2010;17(3):273-285. doi:[10.1016/j.ccr.2009.11.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2009.11.025)
7. Chandler PD, Chen WY, Ajala ON, et al; VITAL Research Group. Effect of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplements on development of advanced cancer: a secondary analysis of the VITAL randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(11):e2025850. doi:[10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.25850](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.25850)
8. Shirvani A, Kalajian TA, Song A, Holick MF. Disassociation of vitamin D's calcemic activity and non-calcemic genomic activity and individual responsiveness: a randomized controlled double-blind clinical trial. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):17685. doi:[10.1038/s41598-019-53864-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53864-1)
9. Ng K, Nimeiri HS, McCleary NJ, et al. Effect of high-dose vs standard-dose vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation on progression-free survival among patients with advanced or metastatic colorectal cancer: the SUNSHINE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2019;321(14):1370-1379. doi:[10.1001/jama.2019.2402](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.2402)
10. Jeon S-M, Shin E-A. Exploring vitamin D metabolism and function in cancer. *Exp Mol Med*. 2018;50(4):1-14. doi:[10.1038/s12276-018-0038-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-018-0038-9)