$See \ discussions, stats, and author \ profiles \ for \ this \ publication \ at: \ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377110785$

Chapter 56 Determinants of Vitamin D Deficiency from Sun Exposure A Global Perspective

Chapter · January 2024

CITATIONS		READS						
0			40					
3 authors:								
	William Burgess Grant		Harjit Pal Bhattoa					
	Sunlight, Nutrition and Health Research Center	T	University of Debrecen					
	661 PUBLICATIONS 26,701 CITATIONS		136 PUBLICATIONS 5,140 CITATIONS					
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE					
Ð								
	Pawel Pludowski							
	Children's Memorial Health Institute							
	135 PUBLICATIONS 5,761 CITATIONS							
	SEE PROFILE							

223a-Ch 56

Chapter 56 Determinants of Vitamin D Deficiency from Sun Exposure

A Global Perspective

William B. Grant¹, Harjit P. Bhattoa², Pawel Pludowski³

¹Sunlight, Nutrition, and Health Research Center, San Francisco, CA, United States

²University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary, harjit@med.unideb.hu

³The Children's Memorial Health Institute, Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

Vitamin D deficiency, generally defined as 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentration <50 nmol/L, affects nearly half the world's population. Solar ultraviolet B (UVB) exposure is the primary source of vitamin D for most people. Many factors affect 25(OH)D concentrations related to solar UVB exposure, including skin pigmentation, solar zenith angle, atmospheric aerosols and clouds, time spent in the sun, amount of skin surface area

exposed, use of sunscreen, age, and body mass index. Cultural and lifestyle differences such as beauty standards, including high regard for fair skin in darker-skinned populations and avoidance of wrinkling; occupation; religion; urban/rural residence; and fear of developing skin cancer or melanoma—also affect some of those factors. Thus, fortification of food with vitamin D and vitamin D supplementation would have to be employed to compensate for unavoidable or inconvenient lack of solar UVB exposure.

Keywords: 25-Hydroxyvitamin D; Shadow rule; Skin cancer; Solar; Sunscreen; Ultraviolet B; Vitamin D

OUTLINE

Background XXX
Factors Affecting 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Concentrations XXX
Seasonal Changes in 25-hydroxyvitamin D Concentrations XXX
Atmospheric and Environmental Determinants XXX
Geographic Location and Ultraviolet B Doses: Seasonal Variations XXX
Meteorological Factors XXX
Urban Versus Rural Residence XXX
Travel to Sunny Locations in Winter XXX

Endogenous Characteristics XXX Effect of Age XXX Obesity XXX Genetics XXX

Behavioral Determinants XXX Use of Sunscreen XXX Shade XXX Messages to Get UV Exposure Mornings and Afternoons (The Shadow Rule) XXX Sun Avoidance Because of Concern About Skin Cancer, Melanoma XXX Occupation (Outdoors vs. Indoors) XXX Outdoor Recreational Activities XXX Lack of Knowledge of the Benefits of Vitamin D XXX Lifestyle Factors Associated With Reduced Sun Exposure XXX Migration/Migrants XXX

Predicting Vitamin D Deficiency XXX Conclusion XXX References XXX

Text with tables: 6839 words

Tables: 1

Figures: 1

References: 154

Background

Globally, serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] concentrations average about 54? nmol/L—nearly independent of latitude [1], [2], [3], Although that similarity may seem paradoxical, the primary reason is that skin pigmentation varies inversely with latitude in general. That variation serves to balance production of vitamin D with protection against damage from free radicals and folate destruction by UV radiation [4]. In addition, higher latitudes receive more hours of sunlight in summer. Many observational studies report that adverse health outcomes are more likely as 25(OH)D concentrations decrease [5], [6], [7], [8]. The Endocrine Society defines vitamin D deficiency as a 25(OH)D concentration below 50 nmol/L; vitamin D insufficiency is a 25(OH)D concentration of between 50 and 75 nmol/L [9]. Thus, about half the world's population is vitamin D deficient. In 2007–09, about one-third of the US population was deficient, varying from 21% in summer to 48% in winter [10]. Between 2008-2017, the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency decreased from 87.1% to 64.7% for participants aged 18-40 years (p-trend<0.001), and from 86.2% to 45.7% in participants aged > 40 years (p-trend<0.001). During this period, vitamin D deficiency in females decreased from 80.1% to 69.6% (ptrend<0.001), whereas in males, it decreased from 93.2% to 49.3% (p-trend<0.001). Serum 25(OH)D was observed to have an overall increase of 2.2 ± 0.1 nmol/l (p < 0.001) along with the seasonally adjusted annual increase of 1.3 ± 0.2 nmol/l from 2008 to 2017 (p < 0.001).[11]. Reasons for high rates of vitamin D deficiency in Saudi Arabia include wearing concealing clothing, staying indoors during the hot summer days, and having a diet based primarily on plants with low amounts of animal products [12, 13].

[14], "Vitamin D deficiency (serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) <50 nmol/L or 20 ng/mL) is common in Europe and the Middle East. It occurs in <20% of the population in Northern Europe, in 30-60% in Western,

Southern and Eastern Europe and up to 80% in Middle East countries. Severe deficiency (serum 25(OH)D <30 nmol/L or 12 ng/mL) is found in >10% of Europeans." [15]; see update [16].

An estimate of the natural 25(OH)D concentration can be obtained from indigenous populations living in east Africa. A study examined two traditionally living populations there, one the pastoral Maasai and the other Hadzabe hunter–gatherers. Subjects had skin type VI, wear a moderate degree of clothing, and spend most of the day outdoors—but they avoid direct exposure to sunlight when possible. They had mean 25(OH)D concentrations of 115 nmol/L [17]. A study of pregnant women from five east African ethnic groups who consumed different amounts of fish found that solar ultraviolet B (UVB) exposure determined 25(OH)D concentrations and that the mean concentration was 115 nmol/L [18]. Those values correspond to an estimated daily production of vitamin D of 2000 IU [19]. On the other hand, a study in South Australia found that UV exposure led to a maximum 25(OH)D concentration of 89 nmol/L and was associated with an estimated mean weekly solar erythemal UV exposure of 1230 mJ/cm² [20]. Solar UV radiation at wavelengths 290 to 330 nm can destroy some vitamin D metabolites [21]. That effect limits the maximum 25(OH)D concentration resulting from solar UV exposure so that one cannot overdose on vitamin D from solar UVB exposure, as seen in the results from east Africa.

A recent review presented a dose-response relationship between UVB exposure and increase in serum 25(OH)D concentration[22]. It used 21 studies using artificial UV sources, 13 using solar radiation, and on using both artificial and solar UV sources. Most of the participants were white Caucasians. The seasonally-adjusted dose-response relationship derived was $\Delta 25(OH)D$ (nmol/L) = 9.51 ln(SDD) – 7.6 where SDD is standard vitamin D dose. The maximum increase in 25(OH)D was 58 nmol/L for 1000 SDD units. An SDD unit is 100 J/m² vitamin D effective radiation [23].

Factors Affecting 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Concentrations

Factors that affect 25(OH)D concentrations related to sun exposure fall under three broad categories: (1) atmospheric and environmental determinants; (2) endogenous characteristics such as genetics and obesity; and (3) behavioral determinants.

A recent paper reviewed the determinants of trends in vitamin D status [16]. Factors associated with decline include reduced sun exposure, increasing BMI, reduced consumption of vitamin D-containing foods, and the effects of urbanization, air pollution, less outdoor occupation, and poor socioeconomic status. Factors associated with increase include sun exposure on holidays, food fortification, increases in vitamin D supplementation, and increased physical activity.

Seasonal Changes in 25-hydroxyvitamin D

Solar UVB exposure is the major source of vitamin D for most people [24]. Table 61.1 shows winter and summer mean 25(OH)D concentrations for a representative sample of countries. In sunny midlatitude countries where people expose more skin in summer, 25(OH)D concentrations increase by up to 40–56 nmol/L, whereas in countries with low 25(OH)D concentrations in summer, 25(OH)D concentrations change much less from winter to summer and may even decrease as in the United Arab Emirates. Many factors affect UVB doses and exposures, and oral vitamin D intake also affects 25(OH)D concentrations.

Country, latitude	Population	Period	25(OH)D Concen (nmol/L) in:		References
			Winter	Summer	
Australia 19 to 43° S	~250 in each of 4 cities, 18-75 years	2009-2010	51±23	<mark>74±24</mark>	[25]
Australia 35° S	3523		M 67, F 63	M 84 F 71	[26]
China 23° N	M & F, <18 to>80 years	2018-2019	73±25	88±25	[27]
Finland 60° N		1990s	32		[28]
Germany 48° N	8393 M & F	1994-5 and 1999-2001	35	<mark>66</mark>	[28]
Great Britain	M, 45 years	2002–04	34	74	[29]
52° N	F, 45 years		36	71	
Greece 39° N	970 M & F	2010-2012	48±19	52±20	[30]
Iceland 64° N			56	74	
India 29° N	26,339 assays	2008 - 2017	52±58	58±56	[31]
Iran 38° N	541 M & F, 5-60 years	2015	46±24	55±37	[32]
Ireland 53° N	1132 adults	2008-10	42	72	[33]
Italy 42-46° N	32,572 M & F	1993-6 and 1986, 1990, 1993	32	65	[28]
Italy, 45° N	2558 M, 8592 F, med age 62 years	2014	50 (IQR, 30-70)	68 (IQR, 47- 89)	[34]
Japan 33° N	312 M, 217 F, 21- 67 years	2006	68 (July)	43 (Nov.)	[35]
Japan 33 & 43° N	107 M & F, 20-60 years	2018	37	53	[36]
Mongolia 48° N	320 M, F, 20-58 years	2011, 2013	19±8	56±23	[37]
Netherlands 52° N	201 M, 338 F	ca 2010?	48	82	[38]
New Zealand 41° S	2946, 18+ years	1996-7	40	75	[39]
Norway, 60° N	6377 M& F	1995-7	54	43	[40]

Table 61.1 Seasonal Changes in 25-Hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) Concentrations

Norway 60° N		1999-2005	51	67	[41]
Norway 60° N		2015-6	45	57	[42]
Norway 60° N		2007-8	60	75	
Portugal 41° N	18-67 years	2015, 2016	42±17	68±22	[43]
Scotland 55-58° N	14,902 M & F	1984-7, 1989, 1992, 1995	28	64	[28]
Singapore 2° N	504 middle-aged	1994-8	65	68	[44]
Slovenia 46° N	280 M&F	2017-8	35	77	[45]
Spain 42° N	5242 M & F	1986-8 and 1990-2	24	48	[28]
Sweden 60° N	M & F, 210 in summer, 58 in winter	2010	55	65	[46]
Sweden		1980s to 2000s	43		[28]
Sweden 60° N	100 F, 61-83	2006	72±23	99±29	[47]
Switzerland 47° N			42	77	[48]
Switzerland 46° N	M&F, BMI = 25	2003-8	35	66	[49]
Turkey 40° N	F, 21–52 years, office workers	2008	35	71	[50]
Turkey 39° N	1965 M, 5326 F	2015	40	41	[51]
United Arab Emirates 24° N	M & F, university students	2009–10	31	21	[52]
The United States	Adults	2007–09	54	71	[10]

Figure 1 is a plot of summer and winter serum 25(OH)D concentrations for European countries using the data from Table 61.1. What is interesting is that summertime mean concentrations for adults are near 68 nmol/L for all latitudes while wintertime concentrations are higher at both low and high latitudes than for intermediate latitudes. The summertime effect can probably be explained as due to a combination of decreasing amount of skin pigmentation [4] and increased length of sunlight with increasing latitude. The wintertime effect can be explained by the longer duration of solar UVB at lower latitudes and higher consumption of animal products that serve as sources of vitamin D at higher latitudes [12],[53] as well as higher intake of vitamin D supplements [54] and food fortification such as in Finland [55].

Figure 61-1 also raises the question of what determines serum 25(OH)D concentrations in winter in the absence of solar UVB. A recent article made the case that the primary mechanism for maintaining 25(OH)D concentrations in the absence of solar UVB is the storage of 25(OH)D in muscle cells [56].



Figure 1. Latitudinal dependence of summertime and wintertime 25(OH)D concentrations for adults in European countries using data in Table 61.1.

Atmospheric and Environmental Determinants

Geographic Location and Ultraviolet B Doses: Seasonal Variations

The most important factor affecting vitamin D production from solar UVB exposure is the solar zenith angle (SZA). The spectral region for solar UVB reaching the earth's surface is 290–315 nm. Given the short wavelength, UVB is strongly scattered by atmospheric molecules. According to Rayleigh's model, scattering varies as the inverse fourth power of wavelength. In addition, stratospheric ozone affects UVB transmission. Thus, the longer the atmospheric path, the less UVB reaches Earth's surface. A graph shows that UVB radiation at 310 nm reaching Earth's surface drops by an order of magnitude in going from an SZA of 25–75 degrees [57]. For

San Francisco on June 8, the SZA reaches 25 degrees at 1300 h (1:00 p.m.), falling to 75 degrees at 0745 and 1750 (http://keisan.casio.com/exec/system/1224682277). The general rule is that one can produce a reasonable amount of vitamin D for SZA <45 degrees. The SZA reaches these values that day at 0930 and 1610.

Fig. 3 in Engelsen [57] indicates that vitamin D can be produced rapidly from solar UVB the entire year for latitudes $<20^{\circ}$, rising to 65° in summer. However, it is impossible to produce vitamin D at the end of the year for latitudes $>46^{\circ}$, rising to 65° by early March. From these calculations, it is estimated that for Fitzpatrick skin type III, one-quarter of a minimal erythemal dose over one-quarter of the body would produce 1000 IU [58]. In Florida, that would take 8–15 min near solar noon, depending on the season.

The calculations in Engelsen [57] were based on the International Commission on Illumination (CIE) action spectrum for previtamin D production [59]. A recent paper pointed out some of the problems with the CIE action spectrum such as the use of a bandwidth of several nanometers in its determination [60]. Another developed by The Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) in the Netherlands [61]. In addition, that paper points out that prolonged UVB exposure saturates vitamin D production and that wavelengths between 310 and 330 nm cause photodecay of vitamin D metabolites. Although an improved action spectrum would probably make a few days change vitamin D production rates, the general conclusions reached using the CIE action spectrum offers good guidance for now.

Additional factors to consider include altitude, surface type, and above-ground features. UVB intensity increases about 19% per 1000 m for an SZA of 20 degrees [62]. The effect of altitude is evident in maps of vitamin D-producing UVB doses in the United States [63]. Different surfaces reflect different amounts of solar UVB. For overhead sun, reflectance varies from 10% for water, 12% for land, 23% for an alpine pasture, to 87% for new dry snow [62]. However, reflectance varies as a function of SZA; anyone who has spent much time on the water in summer knows how easy it is to get sunburned because of the high reflectance at higher SZAs. Living in forested regions reduces UVB doses. As a result, populations inhabiting forested tropical regions for centuries to millennia have lighter pigmentation than those who live in tropical plain regions [4].

Meteorological Factors

Clouds can reduce the amount of UVB radiation reaching Earth's surface. The degree of attenuation depends on the optical thickness/density of the cloud, the amount of cloud cover, and the SZA [64]. UVB can penetrate thin clouds. Coastal regions also can have considerable fog, which also attenuates UVB radiation reaching the surface. A good example is San Francisco, where the marine air passes through the Golden Gate in summer to cool the Sacramento Valley, bringing both clouds and fog.

Air pollution reduces vitamin D production by attenuating solar UVB radiation reaching Earth's surface [65]. The effect of urban pollution has been documented in studies in Belgium [66], China [67], France [68], India [69], and Iran [41,42]. Although aerosols may contribute most of the attenuation, gases that absorb in the UVB spectral region such as ozone and sulfur dioxide also can contribute [66]. In highly industrial regions of China and India, pollution can attenuate UV radiation by up to 50% [67]. Air pollution may also help explain the higher rates of vitamin D deficiency in urban regions than in rural regions.

Urban Versus Rural Residence

Living in urban regions is often associated with lower 25(OH)D concentrations. A study of the effect of urbanization on South African women explored the reasons for lower 25(OH)D concentrations for urban dwellers. Several factors were investigated. The most important ones identified were higher urban rates of obesity, lower urban rates of physical activity levels, and greater urban alcohol consumption [70]. Another study from South Africa reported lower 25(OH)D concentrations among adolescents with alcohol use disorder [71]. Another reason for lower 25(OH)D concentrations in urban regions is due to a greater preponderance of indoor occupations [72]. High-rise buildings also reduce the UVB radiation reaching the surface [73]. A study of women of childbearing age in Vietnam found slightly higher 25(OH)D concentrations in the Hai Dong province (85 nmol/L) than in Hanoi City (78 nmol/L) [74]. A study in Malaysia

found that rural women spent much more time in the sun than urban women (~8 vs. ~3 h/day,

respectively, resulting in higher 25(OH)D concentrations, ~70 vs. ~32 nmol/L, respectively), even though urban women exposed more skin surface area than rural women [75]. A study of elderly Koreans found higher 25(OH)D concentrations in rural than in urban residence (66 vs. 43 nmol/L, respectively) [76].

Travel to Sunny Locations in Winter

People who live at high latitudes and travel to sunny locations during winter have higher 25(OH)D concentrations. A study of Swedish women found that a winter sun vacation was associated with a 14.5-nmol/L increase in 25(OH)D concentration—greater than the 11.0-nmol/L increase associated with a daily intake of 300 IU of vitamin D from reduced fat dairy products [77]. A study in Europe found that sun holidays increased 25(OH)D concentrations from 49 to 71 nmol/L for Danes and from 56 to 73 nmol/L for Spaniards; ski holidays increased 25(OH)D concentrations for Danes from 51 to 59 nmol/L [78]. However, the study also found significant increases in T–T dimers (also known as thymine–thymine or pyrimidine dimers), a biomarker of DNA damage.

Endogenous Characteristics

Effect of Age

Vitamin D is produced in the skin when UVB acts on 7-dehydrocholesterol, followed by a thermal reaction [79]. As people age, the amount of 7-dehydrocholesterol in the skin changes. On the basis of those concentrations in the dermis and epidermis [80], the ability to produce vitamin D in the skin decreases linearly with time from 10 years of age, falling to about 50% lower ability by 70 years of age [79]. A study in Hungary found that August 25(OH)D concentrations decreased with age, from 42 nmol/L for those aged 0–9 years to 21 nmol/L for those aged 80–89 years [81]. This finding is probably due to younger people spending more time in the sun. However, a study found that the US children do not generally spend enough time in the sun to meet nominal vitamin D requirements [82].

Obesity

The higher the body mass index (BMI), the lower 25(OH)D concentration is likely to be [83]. One plausible explanation for this finding is volumetric dilution [84]. In a vitamin D supplementation study involving obese individuals, increasing 25(OH)D concentration by 2.5

nmol/L took ~2.5 IU/kg/day of vitamin D₃ [84]. In relation to 25(OH)D increases from solar UVB exposure, volume increases with the third power of dimension, whereas surface area increases with the second power of dimension. In addition, clothing often covers much of the increased volume. Given the worldwide increases in rates of obesity, global 25(OH)D concentrations related to obesity will continue to decline.

Genetics

In a study of exposure to UVB lamps in winter in Denmark, 22 healthy participants achieved 25(OH)D concentrations from 85 to 216 nmol/L [85]. Baseline 25(OH)D concentrations accounted for 55% of the variance, whereas age, polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor gene, height, and constitutive skin pigmentation accounted for 15% of the variance.

Genes involved in the vitamin D metabolic pathway also can affect 25(OH)D concentrations. Alleles of these genes are associated with different 25(OH)D concentrations. The candidate genes are *DHCR7*, *CYP2R1*, and *GC* as shown by Brouwer-Brolsma [61]. *DHCR7* encodes the enzyme 7-dehydrocholesterol reductase. This enzyme catalyzes the conversion of 7dehydrocholesterol into cholesterol in the skin, thus preventing that 7-dehydrocholesterol from being metabolized into previtamin D. *CYP2R1* encodes the liver enzyme that converts vitamin D to 25(OH)D. *GC* encodes the vitamin D-binding protein, which transports vitamin D metabolites to different organs, tissues, and cells. In a study involving 2857 Dutch men and women older than 65 years, 35% of 25(OH)D concentrations were explained by a model including sun exposure, oral vitamin D intake, and genetic factors [61]. In the model adjusted for age, BMI, years of education, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and self-reported health, the factors significantly affecting 25(OH)D concentration were the *GC* gene (P = .005), being outside in the past 2 weeks (P = .01), and sunlamp use (P = .03). *CYP2R1* was marginally insignificant (P = .07).

Another paper found that people with certain alleles of *CYP2R1* and *GC* had the smallest increases in 25(OH)D concentrations after UVB exposures and the largest decreases in 25(OH)D concentrations after 6 months of consumption of vitamin D_3 -fortified bread and milk [86]. Thus, genetics can modestly affect 25(OH)D concentrations from UVB exposure and oral vitamin D intake.

Behavioral Determinants

Use of Sunscreen

Sunscreens generally block erythemal wavelengths (<325 nm) well. The thicker the layer of sunscreen applied, the less UVB reaches the skin [87]. Thus, if not applied thickly enough, some UVB will reach the skin [88]. In addition, sunscreen wears off. People who habitually apply sunscreen when in the sun will have lower 25(OH)D concentrations than those who do not, assuming similar sun exposures [89]. Many women's cosmetics contain sunscreen (http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/cfrsearch.cfm?fr=700.35). The primary reason for putting sunscreen in cosmetics is probably to reduce the risk of elastosis (wrinkling) [90].

Shade

Atmospheric molecules and aerosols strongly scatter UVB radiation. Molecules scatter as the inverse fourth power of wavelength, whereas aerosols scatter to a lower extent, depending on

particle size. The effect of scattering explains why the sky is blue and clouds are white during midday and orange at sunrise and sunset. Thus, UV exposure is related to direct, diffuse, and reflected UV radiation. A model calculation for Payerne, Switzerland, estimated that direct UV erythemal radiation contributed 15%–24% of annual exposure, whereas diffuse radiation explained about 80% of cumulative erythemal dose [91]. A study reported that for SZA between 35 and 60 degrees, previtamin D production under trees and umbrellas was about half of that in full sun [92]. A later paper reported that in Australia, the best time to expose the body to UV radiation while using a shaded environment with a sky view of >40% was when the SZA was <45 degrees [93]. Using that approach would reduce total UV exposure by 37%–58%.

Messages to Get UV Exposure Mornings and Afternoons (The Shadow Rule)

The UV index (UVI) is a commonly used indicator of erythemal (skin reddening) potential of solar radiation introduced in Canada in 1992 [63]. The UVI is an irradiance scale computed by multiplying the erythemal irradiance in Watts/m² by 40. The erythemal action spectrum for solar radiation has the highest value for 290–300 nm, then decreases by a factor of 500 from 300 to 327 nm, and then more slowly out to 350 nm. Satellite instrument data are used to determine two important components of the UVI, total ozone, cloud, and aerosol effects. Surface altitude and latitude are also included. The UVI is given for solar noon.

In Australia, most people have skin pigmentation much lighter than appropriate for the UVB doses. Most people have Anglo-Celtic ethnic backgrounds. In the United Kingdom, the UVI often reaches 6 in summer, and people with Fitzpatrick skin type 2 could be in the sun for 30–60 min without burning (http://www.weatheronline.co.uk/reports/wxfacts/The-UV-Index.htm).

As a result, 25(OH)D concentrations for 45-year-old people living in England increase from 37 nmol/L in winter to 75 nmol/L at the end of summer just by going about their lives [29]. However, the Cancer Council of Victoria urges Australians to be "SunSmart" and cover up when the UVI is 3 or higher (http://www.cancervic.org.au/preventing-cancer/be-sunsmart). As a result, 25(OH)D concentrations of Australians are not as high as might be expected. A study based on serum 25(OH)D concentrations from women younger than 60 years in the period 1993–2001 found mean peak, monthly peak, and monthly trough values for three locations: South East Queensland (67.0, 75.3, and 54.6 nmol/L, respectively); Geelong region (75.5, 92.5, and 57.1 nmol/L, respectively); and Tasmania (51.1, 62.1, and 40.3 nmol/L, respectively) [94]. The authors' concluding statement was "Current sun exposure practices and dietary intake do not seem to fully prevent vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency, and consideration should be given to modification of sun exposure advice or pursuing other means to achieve vitamin D adequacy."

Dermatologists often recommend the shadow rule; i.e., use sun protection when one's shadow is longer than one's height [95]. However, following the shadow rule greatly reduces the production of vitamin D and is associated with increases in risk of melanoma from the higher UVA to UVB ratio [96].

The abstract of the European Code Against Cancer 4th Edition: Ultraviolet Radiation and Cancer states: "Excessive exposure from natural sources can be avoided by seeking shade when the sun is strongest, by wearing appropriate clothing, and by appropriately applying sunscreens if

direct sunlight is unavoidable. Exposure from artificial sources can be completely avoided by not using sunbeds. Beneficial effects of sun or UVR exposure, such as for vitamin D production, can be fully achieved while still avoiding too much sun exposure and the use of sunbeds." [97]. The Canadian guidelines for the prevention of nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC) recommend precautions when the UVI is 3–5, using protection (shade, cover up, wear a hat and sunglasses, use sunscreen) when the UVI is 6–7, using extra precaution for UVI 8–10, and avoiding the sun more for UVI >11 [98].

Danish sun exposure guidelines recommend seeking shade, wearing a sunhat, wearing protective clothing, or using sunscreen. Adherence to the guidelines regarding seeking shade or wearing protective clothing always or often was associated with approximately 7–10 nmol/L lower 25(OH)D concentrations for adults in spring and autumn [99]. No clear associations were evident for children.

Sun Avoidance Because of Concern about Skin Cancer, Melanoma

Public interest in the role of solar UV exposure and risk of melanoma and NMSC seems to have been sparked by reports that stratospheric ozone concentrations would be reduced by widespread use of chlorofluorocarbons [100]. In 1980, Australia began its "Slip! Slop! Slap!" campaign to get Australians to avoid the sun for UV indices above 3 [101]. A paper published in the Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology in 1982 reviewed the use of sunscreens for protection against the harmful effects of solar radiation [102].

People diagnosed with NMSC are more likely to try to minimize sun exposure through various means, including seeking shade, wearing clothing that exposes less of the body, and using sunscreen [103]. That effect also has been reported in an analysis of cancer rates among participants in the Women's Health Initiative in the United States [104]. Women diagnosed with NMSC tended to have lower sun exposure in the decade of the study as well as increased risk of several cancers for which UVB reduces risk [105].

Occupation (Outdoors vs. Indoors)

People who work outdoors generally have higher 25(OH)D concentrations than those who work indoors. A study in Israel found that outdoor workers had an average daily solar UVB exposure of 4.4 ± 1.6 h, whereas indoor workers had 0.9 ± 0.5 h, resulting in much higher 25(OH)D concentrations for the outdoor workers [106]. Rates of cancer incidence in Nordic countries offer another example of occupation's effect on 25(OH)D concentrations. Workers in the occupations with most time outdoors, such as farmers, forestry workers, and gardeners, had the lowest rates of cancers for which UVB and vitamin D are associated with reduced risk [107]. Vitamin D production by solar UVB exposure is the only mechanism proposed to explain the link between UVB exposure and reduced cancer risk. A study of professional ballet dancers with mean age of 26 years in the United Kingdom found winter and summer 25(OH)D concentrations of 37 and 60 nmol/L, respectively [108]. The dancers also had higher injury rates in winter. A study of males in Delhi in August–September found that outdoor, mixed outdoor–indoor, and indoor workers had sun indices of 73 ± 22 , 48 ± 14 , and 27 ± 11 nmol/L, respectively [109]. The

sun index was calculated as the product of sunshine exposure in h/week and fraction of body surface exposed.

Working at night is also associated with reduced 25(OH)D concentrations. A study in Jordan found that female night shift workers had significantly lower 25(OH)D concentrations than day shift workers ($50 \pm 38 \text{ vs. } 73 \pm 35 \text{ nmol/L}$) [110]. Male night shift workers had nonsignificantly lower 25(OH)D concentrations than day shift workers ($55 \pm 25 \text{ vs. } 65 \pm 33 \text{ nmol/L}$). Also, a review of ten studies found that shiftworkers and indoor workers were the occupational groups most likely to have vitamin D deficiency. [111]

Outdoor Recreational Activities

Recreational activities, especially outdoors, can increase 25(OH)D concentrations. Younger people spend more free time outdoors. Analysis of NHANES data from 6370 people older than 18 years from 2003 to 2006 found that 10 min of objectively measured moderate to vigorous activities during the day was associated with an increase in serum 25(OH)D of 0.80 (95% confidence interval = 0.43-1.20) nmol/L [112]. A study in Italy found that serum 25(OH)D concentrations were about 25% higher for the elderly who regularly engaged in outdoor activities including brisk walking, cycling, gardening, and fishing [113].

Lack of Knowledge of the Benefits of Vitamin D

A study in Hong Kong found that health literacy was directly associated with sunlight exposure, suggesting that health literacy training might be more effective than just providing information about vitamin D and sunlight exposure [114].

An important reason for low 25(OH)D concentrations appears to be lack of knowledge of the benefits of vitamin D, of the risks associated with vitamin D deficiency, and that the sun is an

important source of vitamin D. A study of adults aged 20–40 years in Sharjah, United Arab Emirates, found that 39% knew about vitamin D deficiency and 43% of them knew that sunlight is the main source of vitamin D [115]. On the other hand, the elderly are becoming increasingly aware of the role of vitamin D in reducing risk of osteoporotic fractures and are increasing their oral vitamin D intake. A study in France found that the ratio of 75-year-old women with 25(OH)D concentration <25 nmol/L fell from 69% before 2009 to 35% thereafter [116]. In the United States, analysis of data from NHANES found that age-adjusted mean 25(OH)D concentrations increased from 61–63 nmol/L between 1988–94 and 2005–06 to 67 nmol/L for

the period 2007–10 [117]. The increase was attributed to increased vitamin D supplementation.

A study of 208 adult participants in the UK in 2018 found that 42% answered four or five of ten vitamin D questions correctly, while 36% answered six to ten correctly and 22% answerd one-to-four correctly [118]. Forty four percent of the participants reported taking vitamin D supplements. Knowledge score ws the strongest predictor of supplement use [odds ratio = 2.5 (95% CI, 1.2 to 5.3)]. The most commonly reported reasons for use were insufficient sun exposure (57%), health benefits (51%), and insufficient amounts from food (46%).

A study conducted online in the UK June 17-18, 2019 surveyed public awareness and behavior regarding vitamin D and sunlight exposure in the UK [119]. Among the findings was that 71% thought that the risks of sun exposure were well promoted vs. 22% that thought the benefits of sun exposure were well promoted. Fifty two percent had increased awareness of the

risks of sun exposure during the preceding ten years but only 24% noticed increased promotion of the benefits of sun exposure during the same period.

Lifestyle Factors Associated With Reduced Sun Exposure

Both clothing and sun exposure behavior influence the effect of UV on vitamin D concentrations. Extremes of temperature, i.e., both hot and cold have an impact. Although clothing traditions in equatorial societies are mainly highlighted, the same is true where the temperature is cold. Bedouins and Native Alaskans are traditionally clad from head to toe to prevent themselves from the harsh climate peculiar for their habitats and as such the temperature extremes that they are exposed to. Eventually, irrespective of the hours of sunshine theoretically available for endogenous vitamin D synthesis, the environmental temperature is a major determinant in sun exposure behavior. Studies from sunny countries such as Brazil have found that one of the major contributors of vitamin D insufficiency is seclusion to indoor activity [120].

Furthermore, clothing norms are dictated primarily by cultural following. Conservative societies, as is typical for the Arab world, demand a dress code that restricts the parts of the body exposed. Therefore, even with ample sunshine, type of clothing contributes to vitamin D deficiency. For example, vitamin D deficiency is prevalent among women and neonates in Saudi Arabia because of clothing traditions [121].

Lifestyle choices, such as sun avoidance, indoor work, and covered transport, also may be implicated in the prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency in countries with abundant sunshine. For women in Morocco, lack of sun exposure and veiled clothing style were the most important factors that influenced hypovitaminosis D [122]. Skin pigmentation, religious belief, and lifestyle were among the major determinants contributing to the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in South Asia and Southeast Asia [123]. Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency has likewise also been linked to less exposure to sunshine among healthy schoolchildren in central Ethiopia [124] and among Iranian adolescents [125]. A study listed the aforementioned as the major contributors in Cambodian women, despite their living close to the equator [126].

Pale skin has historically been prized as beautiful in China, and that concept is widespread in other Asian countries, such as India(http://asiasociety.org/blog/asia/china-long-traditiondodging-sun-photos). Thus, aesthetically the preference of fair complexion has also retarded sun exposure in several Asian communities [127].

Cultural beliefs tend to prevail independently of country of domicile—particularly for the Asian diaspora. A study on South Asian women residing in Auckland, New Zealand, reported that deliberate sun avoidance and an indoor lifestyle were the major causes of hypovitaminosis D [128]. More sun protection behavior, shorter sun exposure on weekends, and less acculturation to the Australian lifestyle all were associated with vitamin D deficiency in East Asian women living in Sydney, Australia [129]. Primary health care patients of African and Asian origin in Sweden were at high risk of vitamin D deficiency [130]. A study of East Asian women living in Australia reported the following: "These women reported a number of cultural factors related to their attitudes and behaviors regarding sun exposure. They expressed preference for fair skin, a tradition of covering skin when outdoors, and no sunbathing culture. They believed that fair skin was more beautiful than tanned skin. They reported that beauty was the reason for active avoidance of sunlight exposure. Although they reported knowledge of the need for sun avoidance due to skin cancer risk, few reported knowledge about the benefits of sun exposure for adequate vitamin D levels" [129], [131].

Migration/Migrants

The global migrant/refugee crisis will probably exacerbate vitamin D deficiency. An increasing number of countries were recently confronted with hundreds of thousands of immigrants. In Germany, for example, the number of immigrants of European origin increased 1.3-fold between 2008 and 2015 [105,106]. At the same time, the number of immigrants from Africa increased 1.6-fold, whereas that from Middle East and Asia increased 1.9-fold. Furthermore, the second generation from overseas-born migrants should be also considered a group at high risk for vitamin D deficiency because of darker skin pigmentation than the host population and reduced rate of full assimilation to the host society and its habits (lifestyle and diet). Dark-skinned immigrants in Europe have a significantly increased rate of rickets [132].

US – African Americans [133]

Compared with the migrants' sunny homelands, most of the host countries (high income) are at higher latitudes with reduced efficacy of UVB, cloudy skies, pollution, low average temperatures, and short summer season (except Australia). As a consequence, clothing effectively prevents skin synthesis of vitamin D because of weather conditions or cultural and religious reasons. Unfortunately, the dietary preferences of migrants who relocated to higher latitudes may intensify their risk for vitamin D deficiency. Only a few natural food products are rich in vitamin D, so vitamin D-fortified foods and vitamin D supplements can serve as an alternative source. However, because of dietary preferences or economic status, immigrants may not consume commonly fortified staple foods or supplements [134]. Therefore, displacement from tropical regions to high-latitude countries puts immigrants at even greater risk of vitamin D deficiency than in their country of origin.

As shown by Mughal and colleagues [135], prolonged breastfeeding without maternal vitamin D supplementation to benefit the infant is another problem within immigrant societies that increase the risk of vitamin D deficiency and its consequences. Thus, exclusively breastfed infants consuming 750–1000 mL of breast milk per day from vitamin D-deficient mothers fail to receive the 10 µg/day of vitamin D needed to at least prevent bone mineralization defects [135]. A clinical trial showed that nursing women supplemented with 6400 IU/day of vitamin D₃ to the nursing infants [136].

In a study from Italy, severe vitamin D deficiency [25(OH)D < 25 nmol/L] was noted in 76% of migrant newborns and 48% of migrant mothers [137]. Both migrant newborns and migrant mothers had very low 25(OH)D concentrations (18 ± 14 and 30 ± 17 nmol/L, respectively).

Among the studied mother–infant pairs, a linear decrease of 25(OH)D concentrations was observed with increasing skin pigmentation (phototype I, $42 \pm 18 \text{ nmol/L}$, vs. phototype VI, $18 \pm 10 \text{ nmol/L}$; P < .0001) [137]. For data analyzed by country of origin, host country newborns from Italy had 25(OH)D concentrations higher than all migrant groups (P < .0001) such as North

African, African, Asian, Central–South American, and East European. The same results were found in host country mothers, and North African mothers and their offspring had the lowest 25(OH)D concentrations of 22 ± 11 and 13 ± 10 nmol/L, respectively [137]. In the Netherlands, 25(OH)D concentrations <50 nmol/L were identified in 82% of Surinamese, 92% of Turkish, and 93% of Moroccan pregnant women compared with 28% of native Dutch women [138].

In Belgium, 90% of Moroccans and 77% of Congolese had serum 25(OH)D concentrations <50 nmol/L [139]. In Norway, 92% of Pakistanis had 25(OH)D concentrations below 50 nmol/L [140], and 81% of newly arrived immigrants from the Middle East, 75% from South Asia, and

73% from Africa had 25(OH)D concentrations lower than 50 nmol/L [141]. Furthermore, from above-mentioned regions, approximately one-third had 25(OH)D < 25 nmol/L [142]. Vitamin D deficiency appeared common among Pakistani immigrant children in Denmark [143]. Somali immigrant women had a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency, defined as 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L, with rates of 90% in Norway [144] and Finland [145].

A recent metaanalysis of dark-skinned migrant populations showed that immigrants from the extended Middle East and Sub-Saharan Africa had a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (65% and 56%, respectively) [146]. Refugees are considered particularly at risk for vitamin D deficiency because of staying indoors to avoid potential harm from conflict in native countries and dangers associated with refugee camps [147].

Longer time spent in the host country is an additional risk factor for vitamin D deficiency, as suggested in the literature. In one study, the length of time living in Melbourne, Australia, was associated with increased risk of vitamin D deficiency [defined as 25(OH)D < 25 nmol/L] in African migrants, with a prevalence of 77% for those living >2 years in Melbourne compared with a prevalence of 38% for those living less than 2 years in that city [148]. The aforementioned phenomenon might be linked to asylum seekers dressing more conservatively since immigrating to Australia as well as reporting apartment-style accommodation and reduced time spent outdoors, further reducing sun exposure from that before they immigrated [148].

In migrant and refugee subpopulations, major risk factors for vitamin D deficiency include darker skin, Muslim religion, full-body-covering clothing, longer stay in host country, decreased daylight exposure, living in an urban environment, and coming from a socioeconomically disadvantaged background. Prevention programs with vitamin D supplementation should be considered in host countries, and migrants/refugees at high risk should be educated, screened, and monitored for vitamin D deficiency.

Predicting Vitamin D Deficiency

Diffey developed a model to estimate 25(OH)D concentrations from UV exposure in summer

in the United Kingdom. He found that then-current advice to spend 10–20 min in the sun did little to boost overall 25(OH)D concentrations [149]. He extended that model later to include oral intake, finding that a combination of increased oral vitamin D intake in winter and increased summer sun exposure could improve vitamin D status for the adult British population [150]. His models were used to develop an integrated predictive model of population 25(OH)D

concentration for Ireland on the basis of UVB data and vitamin D from food sources (4.4 µg/day

or 180 IU/day). That model predicted well the 18%–19% of the population with 25(OH)D concentration <30 nmol/L in winter [151]. Evidently 25(OH)D has a very slow decay rate, as shown by the fact that elderly patients who received vitamin D_3 in the form of fortified bread achieved a 25(OH)D concentration of 127 nmol/L, which decayed to 65 nmol/L after 1 year without the bread and 48 nmol/L at the end of 3 years [152].

Some researchers tried to predict vitamin D deficiency on the basis of several demographic characteristics and lifestyle factors. A cross-sectional study of 644 60- to 84-year-old participants in Acatalia found that use of "view outdoors always a set of a sector of the sector of th

in Australia found that use of "time outdoors, physical activity, vitamin D intake and ambient

UVR, and inversely correlated with age, BMI, and poor self-reported health status" explained 21% of the variance in 25(OH)D concentration [153].

Another study in Amsterdam involved 1509 elderly Dutch participants in the development sample and 1100 in the validation sample [154]. "The final model for the prediction of vitamin D concentrations <30 nmol/L consisted of 10 predictors: older age, smoking, alcohol consumption (<13 drinks/wk), season, no vitamin supplement use, no bicycling, no gardening, medication use, limitations in the use of own or public transportation, and the inability to remember the present year, etc. The final prediction model for serum 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L consisted of the following 13 variables: older age, sex (female), BMI (>30), smoking, alcohol consumption (<13 drinks/wk), season, no vitamin supplement use, no bicycling, no gardening,

medication use, poor appetite, and without a partner." The resulting model was sensitive to the upper 25(OH)D concentration cutoff. For example, with a cutoff of <110 nmol/L, the sensitivity and specificity for <30 nmol/L were 84% and 66%, respectively.

Conclusion

Although solar UVB exposure is the most important source of vitamin D for most people, many factors affect 25(OH)D concentrations related to solar UVB doses. Some of these factors are physical, relating to solar, atmospheric, and surface properties and time of day. Others are related to lifestyle, such as time spent outdoors, clothing worn, and use of sunscreen. These factors are subject to societal factors, including attitudes toward sun exposure. Genetic factors play a role in skin pigmentation and are involved in the metabolism and transport of vitamin D. Since solar UVB exposure is subject to physical, cultural, and personal restraints, vitamin D food fortification and vitamin D supplementation would be required to have everyone avoid vitamin D deficiency [9],[151],[5].

References

[1] Hilger J, Friedel A, Herr R, Rausch T, Roos F, Wahl DA, et al. A systematic review of vitamin D status in populations worldwide. Br J Nutr. 2014;111:23-45.

[2] Palacios C, Gonzalez L. Is vitamin D deficiency a major global public health problem? J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2014;144 Pt A:138-45.

[3] Pludowski P, Grant WB, Bhattoa HP, Bayer M, Povoroznyuk V, Rudenka E, et al. Vitamin d status in central europe. Int J Endocrinol. 2014;2014:589587.

[4] Jablonski NG, Chaplin G. Colloquium paper: human skin pigmentation as an adaptation to UV radiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107 Suppl 2:8962-8.

[5] Pludowski P, Holick MF, Pilz S, Wagner CL, Hollis BW, Grant WB, et al. Vitamin D effects on musculoskeletal health, immunity, autoimmunity, cardiovascular disease, cancer, fertility, pregnancy, dementia and mortality-a review of recent evidence. Autoimmun Rev. 2013;12:976-89.

[6] Charoenngam N, Shirvani A, Holick MF. Vitamin D for skeletal and non-skeletal health: What we should know. J Clin Orthop Trauma. 2019;10:1082-93.

[7] Acharya P, Dalia T, Ranka S, Sethi P, Oni OA, Safarova MS, et al. The Effects of Vitamin D Supplementation and 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Levels on the Risk of Myocardial Infarction and Mortality. J Endocr Soc. 2021;5:bvab124.

[8] Grant WB, Al Anouti F, Boucher BJ, Dursun E, Gezen-Ak D, Jude EB, et al. A Narrative Review of the Evidence for Variations in Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Concentration Thresholds for Optimal Health Nutrients. 2022;14:639.

[9] Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96:1911-30.

[10] Kroll MH, Bi C, Garber CC, Kaufman HW, Liu D, Caston-Balderrama A, et al. Temporal relationship between vitamin D status and parathyroid hormone in the United States. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0118108.

[11] Al-Daghri NM, Hussain SD, Ansari MGA, Khattak MNK, Aljohani N, Al-Saleh Y, et al. Decreasing prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in the central region of Saudi Arabia (2008-2017). J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2021;212:105920.

[12] Crowe FL, Steur M, Allen NE, Appleby PN, Travis RC, Key TJ. Plasma concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in meat eaters, fish eaters, vegetarians and vegans: results from the EPIC-Oxford study. Public Health Nutr. 2011;14:340-6.

[13] Grant WB, Boucher BJ. Why Secondary Analyses in Vitamin D Clinical Trials Are Important and How to Improve Vitamin D Clinical Trial Outcome Analyses-A Comment on "Extra-Skeletal Effects of Vitamin D, Nutrients 2019, 11, 1460". Nutrients. 2019;11:2182.

[14] Manios Y, Moschonis G, Lambrinou CP, Tsoutsoulopoulou K, Binou P, Karachaliou A, et al. A systematic review of vitamin D status in southern European countries. Eur J Nutr. 2018;57:2001-36.

[15] Lips P, Cashman KD, Lamberg-Allardt C, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Obermayer-Pietsch B, Bianchi ML, et al. Current vitamin D status in European and Middle East countries and strategies to prevent vitamin D deficiency: a position statement of the European Calcified Tissue Society. Eur J Endocrinol. 2019;180:P23-P54.

[16] Lips P, de Jongh RT, van Schoor NM. Trends in Vitamin D Status Around the World. JBMR Plus. 2021;5:e10585.

[17] Luxwolda MF, Kuipers RS, Kema IP, Dijck-Brouwer DA, Muskiet FA. Traditionally living populations in East Africa have a mean serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration of 115 nmol/l. Br J Nutr. 2012;108:1557-61.

[18] Luxwolda MF, Kuipers RS, Kema IP, van der Veer E, Dijck-Brouwer DA, Muskiet FA. Vitamin D status indicators in indigenous populations in East Africa. Eur J Nutr. 2013;52:1115-25.

[19] Krzyscin JW, Guzikowski J, Rajewska-Wiech B. Optimal vitamin D3 daily intake of 2000IU inferred from modeled solar exposure of ancestral humans in Northern Tanzania. Journal of photochemistry and photobiology B, Biology. 2016;159:101-5.

[20] Nair-Shalliker V, Clements M, Fenech M, Armstrong BK. Personal sun exposure and serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D concentrations. Photochem Photobiol. 2013;89:208-14.

[21] Webb AR, DeCosta BR, Holick MF. Sunlight regulates the cutaneous production of vitamin D3 by causing its photodegradation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1989;68:882-7.

[22] Webb AR, Alghamdi R, Kift R, Rhodes LE. 100 YEARS OF VITAMIN D: Dose-response for change in 25-hydroxyvitamin D after UV exposure: outcome of a systematic review. Endocr Connect. 2021;10:R248-R66.

[23] McKenzie R, Blumthaler M, Diaz S, Fioletov V, Herman J, Seckmeyer G, et al.

Rationalizing nomenclature for UV doses and effects on humans CIE 209:2014/WMO/GAW. 2014.

[24] Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2007;357:266-81.

[25] Kimlin MG, Lucas RM, Harrison SL, van der Mei I, Armstrong BK, Whiteman DC, et al. The contributions of solar ultraviolet radiation exposure and other determinants to serum 25hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in Australian adults: the AusD Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2014;179:864-74.

[26] Gill TK, Hill CL, Shanahan EM, Taylor AW, Appleton SL, Grant JF, et al. Vitamin D levels in an Australian population. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:1001.

[27] Cai Z, Zhang Q, Xia Z, Zheng S, Zeng L, Han L, et al. Determination of serum 25hydroxyvitamin D status among population in southern China by a high accuracy LC-MS/MS method traced to reference measurement procedure. Nutr Metab (Lond). 2020;17:8.

[28] Oskarsson V, Eliasson M, Salomaa V, Reinikainen J, Mannisto S, Palmieri L, et al. Influence of geographical latitude on vitamin D status: cross-sectional results from the BiomarCaRE consortium. Br J Nutr. 2021:1-11.

[29] Hypponen E, Power C. Hypovitaminosis D in British adults at age 45 y: nationwide cohort study of dietary and lifestyle predictors. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85:860-8.

[30] Grigoriou EV, Trovas G, Papaioannou N, Makras P, Kokkoris P, Dontas I, et al. Serum 25hydroxyvitamin D status, quantitative ultrasound parameters, and their determinants in Greek population. Arch Osteoporos. 2018;13:111.

[31] Raizada N, Goyal A, Singla R, Sreenivas V, Gupta N, Khadgawat R. Changing Trend in Vitamin D Status from 2008 to 2016: An Experience from a Tertiary Care Institute in North India. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2020;24:150-4.

[32] Nouri Saeidlou S, Vahabzadeh D, Babaei F, Vahabzadeh Z. Seasonal variations of vitamin D and its relation to lipid profile in Iranian children and adults. J Health Popul Nutr. 2017;36:21.
[33] Cashman KD, Muldowney S, McNulty B, Nugent A, FitzGerald AP, Kiely M, et al. Vitamin D status of Irish adults: findings from the National Adult Nutrition Survey. Br J Nutr. 2013;109:1248-56.

[34] Bonelli P, Buonocore R, Aloe R, Lippi G. Blood Sampling Seasonality as an Important Preanalytical Factor for Assessment of Vitamin D Status. J Med Biochem. 2016;35:113-7.
[35] Nanri A, Foo LH, Nakamura K, Hori A, Poudel-Tandukar K, Matsushita Y, et al. Serum 25hydroxyvitamin d concentrations and season-specific correlates in Japanese adults. J Epidemiol. 2011;21:346-53.

[36] Asakura K, Etoh N, Imamura H, Michikawa T, Nakamura T, Takeda Y, et al. Vitamin D Status in Japanese Adults: Relationship of Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D with Simultaneously Measured Dietary Vitamin D Intake and Ultraviolet Ray Exposure. Nutrients. 2020;12.

[37] Bromage S, Rich-Edwards JW, Tselmen D, Baylin A, Houghton LA, Baasanjav N, et al. Seasonal Epidemiology of Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Concentrations among Healthy Adults Living in Rural and Urban Areas in Mongolia. Nutrients. 2016;8.

[38] van Grootheest G, Milaneschi Y, Lips PT, Heijboer AC, Smit JH, Penninx BW. Determinants of plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in healthy adults in the Netherlands. Neth J Med. 2014;72:533-40.

[39] Rockell JE, Skeaff CM, Williams SM, Green TJ. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations of New Zealanders aged 15 years and older. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2006;17:1382-9.

[40] Gezen-Ak D, Atasoy IL, Candas E, Alaylioglu M, Yilmazer S, Dursun E. Vitamin D Receptor Regulates Amyloid Beta 1-42 Production with Protein Disulfide Isomerase A3. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2017;8:2335-46.

[41] Degerud E, Hoff R, Nygard O, Strand E, Nilsen DW, Nordrehaug JE, et al. Cosinor modelling of seasonal variation in 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in cardiovascular patients in Norway. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2016;70:517-22.

[42] Pilz S, Marz W, Cashman KD, Kiely ME, Whiting SJ, Holick MF, et al. Rationale and Plan for Vitamin D Food Fortification: A Review and Guidance Paper. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2018;9:373.

[43] Bettencourt A, Boleixa D, Reis J, Oliveira JC, Mendonca D, Costa PP, et al. Serum 25hydroxyvitamin D levels in a healthy population from the North of Portugal. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2018;175:97-101.

[44] Robien K, Butler LM, Wang R, Beckman KB, Walek D, Koh WP, et al. Genetic and environmental predictors of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations among middle-aged and elderly Chinese in Singapore. Br J Nutr. 2013;109:493-502.

[45] Hribar M, Benedik E, Gregoric M, Blaznik U, Kukec A, Hristov H, et al. A Systematic Review of Vitamin D Status and Dietary Intake in Various Slovenian Populations. Zdr Varst. 2022;61:55-72.

[46] Nalsen C, Becker W, Pearson M, Ridefelt P, Lindroos AK, Kotova N, et al. Vitamin D status in children and adults in Sweden: dietary intake and 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in children aged 10-12 years and adults aged 18-80 years. J Nutr Sci. 2020;9:e47.

[47] Burgaz A, Akesson A, Michaelsson K, Wolk A. 25-hydroxyvitamin D accumulation during summer in elderly women at latitude 60 degrees N. J Intern Med. 2009;266:476-83.

[48] Guessous I, Dudler V, Glatz N, Theler JM, Zoller O, Paccaud F, et al. Vitamin D levels and associated factors: a population-based study in Switzerland. Swiss Med Wkly. 2012;142:0.

[49] Vuistiner P, Rousson V, Henry H, Lescuyer P, Boulat O, Gaspoz JM, et al. A Population-Based Model to Consider the Effect of Seasonal Variation on Serum 25(OH)D and Vitamin D Status. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:168189. [50] Cinar N, Harmanci A, Yildiz BO, Bayraktar M. Vitamin D status and seasonal changes in plasma concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in office workers in Ankara, Turkey. Eur J Intern Med. 2014;25:197-201.

[51] Vurmaz A, Ertekin A, Sabaner MC, Atay E, Bozkurt E, Bilir A. Effects of vitamin E in a glucocorticoid induced cataract model in chicken embryos. Biotech Histochem. 2021;96:431-8.
[52] Al Anouti F, Thomas J, Abdel-Wareth L, Rajah J, Grant WB, Haq A. Vitamin D deficiency and sun avoidance among university students at Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Dermatoendocrinol. 2011;3:235-9.

[53] Spiro A, Buttriss JL. Vitamin D: An overview of vitamin D status and intake in Europe. Nutr Bull. 2014;39:322-50.

[54] O'Neill CM, Kazantzidis A, Ryan MJ, Barber N, Sempos CT, Durazo-Arvizu RA, et al. Seasonal Changes in Vitamin D-Effective UVB Availability in Europe and Associations with Population Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D. Nutrients. 2016;8:533.

[55] Ikonen H, Lumme J, Seppala J, Pesonen P, Piltonen T, Jarvelin MR, et al. The determinants and longitudinal changes in vitamin D status in middle-age: a Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 study. Eur J Nutr. 2021;60:4541-53.

[56] Mason RS, Rybchyn MS, Abboud M, Brennan-Speranza TC, Fraser DR. The Role of Skeletal Muscle in Maintaining Vitamin D Status in Winter. Curr Dev Nutr. 2019;3:nzz087.
[57] Engelsen O. The relationship between ultraviolet radiation exposure and vitamin D status. Nutrients. 2010;2:482-95.

[58] Terushkin V, Bender A, Psaty EL, Engelsen O, Wang SQ, Halpern AC. Estimated equivalency of vitamin D production from natural sun exposure versus oral vitamin D supplementation across seasons at two US latitudes. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2010;62:929 e1-9.
[59] 174 C. Action spectrum for the production of previtamin D3 in human skin. Vienna, Austria: CIE; 2006.

[60] van Dijk A, den Outer P, van Kranen H, Slaper H. The action spectrum for vitamin D3: initial skin reaction and prolonged exposure. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2016;15:896-909.[61] Brouwer-Brolsma EM, Vaes AMM, van der Zwaluw NL, van Wijngaarden JP, Swart KMA,

Ham AC, et al. Relative importance of summer sun exposure, vitamin D intake, and genes to vitamin D status in Dutch older adults: The B-PROOF study. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2016;164:168-76.

[62] Blumthaler M, Ambach W. Solar UVB-albedo of various surfaces. Photochem Photobiol. 1988;48:85-8.

[63] Fioletov VE, McArthur LJ, Mathews TW, Marrett L. Estimated ultraviolet exposure levels for a sufficient vitamin D status in North America. Journal of photochemistry and photobiology B, Biology. 2010;100:57-66.

[64] Turnbull DJ, Parisi AV, Schouten PW. Empirical evaluation of global vitamin D effective ultraviolet irradiances under cloudy conditions for a subtropical southern hemisphere site. Radiat Res. 2010;173:703-8.

[65] Barnard WF, Saxena VK, Wenny BN, DeLuisi JJ. Daily surface UV exposure and its relationship to surface pollutant measurements. J Air Waste Manag Assoc. 2003;53:237-45.

[66] Manicourt DH, Devogelaer JP. Urban tropospheric ozone increases the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among Belgian postmenopausal women with outdoor activities during summer. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008;93:3893-9.

[67] An JL, Wang YS, Li X, Sun Y, Shen SH. [Relationship between surface UV radiation and air pollution in Beijing]. Huan Jing Ke Xue. 2008;29:1053-8.

[68] Baiz N, Dargent-Molina P, Wark JD, Souberbielle JC, Slama R, Annesi-Maesano I, et al. Gestational exposure to urban air pollution related to a decrease in cord blood vitamin d levels. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012;97:4087-95.

[69] Agarwal KS, Mughal MZ, Upadhyay P, Berry JL, Mawer EB, Puliyel JM. The impact of atmospheric pollution on vitamin D status of infants and toddlers in Delhi, India. Arch Dis Child. 2002;87:111-3.

[70] Kruger MC, Kruger IM, Wentzel-Viljoen E, Kruger A. Urbanization of black South African women may increase risk of low bone mass due to low vitamin D status, low calcium intake, and high bone turnover. Nutr Res. 2011;31:748-58.

[71] Naude CE, Carey PD, Laubscher R, Fein G, Senekal M. Vitamin D and calcium status in South African adolescents with alcohol use disorders. Nutrients. 2012;4:1076-94.

[72] Grant WB. Ecological studies of the UVB-vitamin D-cancer hypothesis. Anticancer Res. 2012;32:223-36.

[73] Wai KM, Yu PK, Lam KS. Reduction of Solar UV Radiation Due to Urban High-Rise Buildings--A Coupled Modelling Study. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0135562.

[74] Hien VT, Lam NT, Skeaff CM, Todd J, McLean JM, Green TJ. Vitamin D status of pregnant and non-pregnant women of reproductive age living in Hanoi City and the Hai Duong province of Vietnam. Matern Child Nutr. 2012;8:533-9.

[75] Nurbazlin M, Chee WS, Rokiah P, Tan AT, Chew YY, Nusaibah AR, et al. Effects of sun exposure on 25(OH) vitamin D concentration in urban and rural women in Malaysia. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2013;22:391-9.

[76] Song BM, Rhee Y, Kim CO, Youm Y, Kim KM, Lee EY, et al. Urban-rural differences explain the association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and insulin resistance in Korea. Nutrients. 2014;6:5806-18.

[77] Burgaz A, Akesson A, Oster A, Michaelsson K, Wolk A. Associations of diet, supplement use, and ultraviolet B radiation exposure with vitamin D status in Swedish women during winter. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;86:1399-404.

[78] Petersen B, Wulf HC, Triguero-Mas M, Philipsen PA, Thieden E, Olsen P, et al. Sun and ski holidays improve vitamin D status, but are associated with high levels of DNA damage. J Invest Dermatol. 2014;134:2806-13.

[79] Godar DE, Pope SJ, Grant WB, Holick MF. Solar UV doses of adult Americans and vitamin D(3) production. Dermatoendocrinol. 2011;3:243-50.

[80] MacLaughlin J, Holick MF. Aging decreases the capacity of human skin to produce vitamin D3. J Clin Invest. 1985;76:1536-8.

[81] Vasarhelyi B, Satori A, Olajos F, Szabo A, Beko G. [Low vitamin D levels among patients at Semmelweis University: retrospective analysis during a one-year period]. Orv Hetil. 2011;152:1272-7.

[82] Godar DE, Pope SJ, Grant WB, Holick MF. Solar UV doses of young Americans and vitamin D3 production. Environ Health Perspect. 2012;120:139-43.

[83] Vanlint S. Vitamin D and obesity. Nutrients. 2013;5:949-56.

[84] Drincic A, Fuller E, Heaney RP, Armas LA. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D response to graded vitamin D(3) supplementation among obese adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013;98:4845-51.
[85] Datta P, Bogh MK, Olsen P, Eriksen P, Schmedes AV, Grage MM, et al. Increase in serum 25-hydroxyvitamin-D3 in humans after solar exposure under natural conditions compared to artificial UVB exposure of hands and face. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2012;11:1817-24.

[86] Nissen J, Vogel U, Ravn-Haren G, Andersen EW, Madsen KH, Nexo BA, et al. Common variants in CYP2R1 and GC genes are both determinants of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations after UVB irradiation and after consumption of vitamin D(3)-fortified bread and milk during winter in Denmark. Am J Clin Nutr. 2015;101:218-27.

[87] Faurschou A, Beyer DM, Schmedes A, Bogh MK, Philipsen PA, Wulf HC. The relation between sunscreen layer thickness and vitamin D production after ultraviolet B exposure: a randomized clinical trial. Br J Dermatol. 2012;167:391-5.

[88] Grigalavicius M, Iani V, Juzeniene A. Layer Thickness of SPF 30 Sunscreen and Formation of Pre-vitamin D. Anticancer Res. 2016;36:1409-15.

[89] Matsuoka LY, Wortsman J, Hanifan N, Holick MF. Chronic sunscreen use decreases circulating concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D. A preliminary study. Arch Dermatol. 1988;124:1802-4.

[90] Kennedy C, Bastiaens MT, Bajdik CD, Willemze R, Westendorp RG, Bouwes Bavinck JN, et al. Effect of smoking and sun on the aging skin. J Invest Dermatol. 2003;120:548-54.

[91] Vernez D, Milon A, Vuilleumier L, Bulliard JL. Anatomical exposure patterns of skin to sunlight: relative contributions of direct, diffuse and reflected ultraviolet radiation. Br J Dermatol. 2012;167:383-90.

[92] Turnbull DJ, Parisi AV, Kimlin MG. Vitamin D effective ultraviolet wavelengths due to scattering in shade. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2005;96:431-6.

[93] Turnbull DJ, Parisi AV. Latitudinal variations over Australia of the solar UV-radiation exposures for vitamin D3 in shade compared to full sun. Radiat Res. 2010;173:373-9.

[94] van der Mei IA, Ponsonby AL, Engelsen O, Pasco JA, McGrath JJ, Eyles DW, et al. The high prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency across Australian populations is only partly explained by season and latitude. Environ Health Perspect. 2007;115:1132-9.

[95] Sliney DH, Wengraitis S. Is a differentiated advice by season and region necessary? Prog Biophys Mol Biol. 2006;92:150-60.

[96] Grigalavicius M, Moan J, Dahlback A, Juzeniene A. Daily, seasonal, and latitudinal variations in solar ultraviolet A and B radiation in relation to vitamin D production and risk for skin cancer. Int J Dermatol. 2016;55:e23-8.

[97] Greinert R, de Vries E, Erdmann F, Espina C, Auvinen A, Kesminiene A, et al. European Code against Cancer 4th Edition: Ultraviolet radiation and cancer. Cancer Epidemiol. 2015;39 Suppl 1:S75-83.

[98] Barber K, Searles GE, Vender R, Teoh H, Ashkenas J, Canadian Non-melanoma Skin Cancer Guidelines C. Non-melanoma Skin Cancer in Canada Chapter 2: Primary Prevention of Non-melanoma Skin Cancer. J Cutan Med Surg. 2015;19:216-26.

[99] Datta P, Philipsen PA, Olsen P, Petersen B, Johansen P, Morling N, et al. Major interpersonal variation in the increase and maximal level of 25-hydroxy vitamin D induced by UVB. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2016;15:536-45.

[100] Fears TR, Scotto J, Schneiderman MA. Skin cancer, melanoma, and sunlight. Am J Public Health. 1976;66:461-4.

[101] Montague M, Borland R, Sinclair C. Slip! Slop! Slap! and SunSmart, 1980-2000: Skin cancer control and 20 years of population-based campaigning. Health Educ Behav. 2001;28:290-305.

[102] Pathak MA. Sunscreens: topical and systemic approaches for protection of human skin against harmful effects of solar radiation. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1982;7:285-312.

[103] Fischer AH, Wang TS, Yenokyan G, Kang S, Chien AL. Sunburn and sun-protective behaviors among adults with and without previous nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC): A population-based study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2016;75:371-9 e5.

[104] Ransohoff KJ, Stefanick ML, Li S, Kurian AW, Wakelee H, Wang A, et al. Association of nonmelanoma skin cancer with second noncutaneous malignancy in the Women's Health Initiative. Br J Dermatol. 2017;176:512-6.

[105] Moukayed M, Grant WB. Molecular link between vitamin D and cancer prevention. Nutrients. 2013;5:3993-4021.

[106] Azizi E, Pavlotsky F, Vered I, Kudish AI. Occupational exposure to solar UVB and seasonal monitoring of serum levels of 25-hydroxy vitamin D3: a case-control study. Photochem Photobiol. 2009;85:1240-4.

[107] Grant WB. Role of solar UVB irradiance and smoking in cancer as inferred from cancer incidence rates by occupation in Nordic countries. Dermatoendocrinol. 2012;4:203-11.[108] Wolman R, Wyon MA, Koutedakis Y, Nevill AM, Eastell R, Allen N. Vitamin D status in

professional ballet dancers: winter vs. summer. J Sci Med Sport. 2013;16:388-91.

[109] Goswami R, Saha S, Sreenivas V, Singh N, Lakshmy R. Vitamin D-binding protein, vitamin D status and serum bioavailable 25(OH)D of young Asian Indian males working in outdoor and indoor environments. J Bone Miner Metab. 2017;35:177-84.

[110] Alefishat E, Abu Farha R. Determinants of vitamin d status among Jordanian employees: Focus on the night shift effect. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2016;29:859-70.

[111] Coppeta L, Papa F, Magrini A. Are Shiftwork and Indoor Work Related to D3 Vitamin Deficiency? A Systematic Review of Current Evidences. J Environ Public Health. 2018;2018:8468742.

[112] Wanner M, Richard A, Martin B, Linseisen J, Rohrmann S. Associations between objective and self-reported physical activity and vitamin D serum levels in the US population. Cancer Causes Control. 2015;26:881-91.

[113] De Rui M, Toffanello ED, Veronese N, Zambon S, Bolzetta F, Sartori L, et al. Vitamin D deficiency and leisure time activities in the elderly: are all pastimes the same? PLoS One. 2014;9:e94805.

[114] Leung AY, Cheung MK, Chi I. Supplementing vitamin D through sunlight: associating health literacy with sunlight exposure behavior. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2015;60:134-41.
[115] Salmanpour VA, Ibrahim HS, Salameh AG, Yahya AM, Debal BK. Vitamin D deficiency: knowledge and practices among the adult population in Sharjah, United Arab Emirates. Arch Osteoporos. 2016;11:15.

[116] Amouzougan A, Deygat A, Trombert B, Constant E, Denarie D, Marotte H, et al. Spectacular improvement in vitamin D status in elderly osteoporotic women: 8-year analysis of an osteoporotic population treated in a dedicated fracture liaison service. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2015;26:2869-75.

[117] Schleicher RL, Sternberg MR, Lacher DA, Sempos CT, Looker AC, Durazo-Arvizu RA, et al. The vitamin D status of the US population from 1988 to 2010 using standardized serum concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D shows recent modest increases. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;104:454-61.

[118] O'Connor C, Glatt D, White L, Revuelta Iniesta R. Knowledge, Attitudes and Perceptions towards Vitamin D in a UK Adult Population: A Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15.

[119] Burchell K, Rhodes LE, Webb AR. Public Awareness and Behaviour in Great Britain in the Context of Sunlight Exposure and Vitamin D: Results from the First Large-Scale and Representative Survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17.

[120] Peters BS, dos Santos LC, Fisberg M, Wood RJ, Martini LA. Prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency in Brazilian adolescents. Ann Nutr Metab. 2009;54:15-21.

[121] Holick MF. Environmental factors that influence the cutaneous production of vitamin D. Am J Clin Nutr. 1995;61:638S-45S.

[122] Allali F, El Aichaoui S, Khazani H, Benyahia B, Saoud B, El Kabbaj S, et al. High prevalence of hypovitaminosis D in Morocco: relationship to lifestyle, physical performance, bone markers, and bone mineral density. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2009;38:444-51.

[123] Nimitphong H, Holick MF. Vitamin D status and sun exposure in southeast Asia. Dermatoendocrinol. 2013;5:34-7.

[124] Wakayo T, Belachew T, Vatanparast H, Whiting SJ. Vitamin D deficiency and its predictors in a country with thirteen months of sunshine: the case of school children in central Ethiopia. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0120963.

[125] Ebrahimi M, Khashayar P, Keshtkar A, Etemad K, Dini M, Mohammadi Z, et al. Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among Iranian adolescents. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2014;27:595-602.

[126] Nielson CM, Jones KS, Chun RF, Jacobs JM, Wang Y, Hewison M, et al. Free 25-Hydroxyvitamin D: Impact of Vitamin D Binding Protein Assays on Racial-Genotypic Associations. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101:2226-34.

[127] Redmond J, Palla L, Yan L, Jarjou LM, Prentice A, Schoenmakers I. Ethnic differences in urinary calcium and phosphate excretion between Gambian and British older adults. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2015;26:1125-35.

[128] von Hurst PR, Stonehouse W, Coad J. Vitamin D supplementation reduces insulin resistance in South Asian women living in New Zealand who are insulin resistant and vitamin D deficient - a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Br J Nutr. 2010;103:549-55.

[129] Brock KE, Ke L, Tseng M, Clemson L, Koo FK, Jang H, et al. Vitamin D status is associated with sun exposure, vitamin D and calcium intake, acculturation and attitudes in immigrant East Asian women living in Sydney. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2013;136:214-7.

[130] Bjork A, Andersson A, Johansson G, Bjorkegren K, Bardel A, Kristiansson P. Evaluation of sun holiday, diet habits, origin and other factors as determinants of vitamin D status in Swedish primary health care patients: a cross-sectional study with regression analysis of ethnic Swedish and immigrant women. BMC Fam Pract. 2013;14:129.

[131] Jang H, Koo FK, Ke L, Clemson L, Cant R, Fraser DR, et al. Culture and sun exposure in immigrant East Asian women living in Australia. Women Health. 2013;53:504-18.

[132] Thacher TD, Pludowski P, Shaw NJ, Mughal MZ, Munns CF, Hogler W. Nutritional rickets in immigrant and refugee children. Public Health Rev. 2016;37:3.

[133] Ames BN, Grant WB, Willett WC. Does the High Prevalence of Vitamin D Deficiency in African Americans Contribute to Health Disparities? Nutrients. 2021;13.

[134] Arkema EV, Hart JE, Bertrand KA, Laden F, Grodstein F, Rosner BA, et al. Exposure to ultraviolet-B and risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis among women in the Nurses' Health Study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013;72:506-11.

[135] Mughal MZ, Salama H, Greenaway T, Laing I, Mawer EB. Lesson of the week: florid rickets associated with prolonged breast feeding without vitamin D supplementation. BMJ. 1999;318:39-40.

[136] Baggerly CA, Cuomo RE, French CB, Garland CF, Gorham ED, Grant WB, et al. Sunlight and Vitamin D: Necessary for Public Health. J Am Coll Nutr. 2015;34:359-65.

[137] Cadario F, Savastio S, Magnani C, Cena T, Pagliardini V, Bellomo G, et al. High Prevalence of Vitamin D Deficiency in Native versus Migrant Mothers and Newborns in the North of Italy: A Call to Act with a Stronger Prevention Program. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0129586.
[138] Stellinga-Boelen AA, Wiegersma PA, Storm H, Bijleveld CM, Verkade HJ. Vitamin D levels in children of asylum seekers in The Netherlands in relation to season and dietary intake. Eur J Pediatr. 2007;166:201-6.

[139] Moreno-Reyes R, Carpentier YA, Boelaert M, El Moumni K, Dufourny G, Bazelmans C, et al. Vitamin D deficiency and hyperparathyroidism in relation to ethnicity: a cross-sectional survey in healthy adults. Eur J Nutr. 2009;48:31-7.

[140] Cashman KD, Dowling KG, Skrabakova Z, Kiely M, Lamberg-Allardt C, Durazo-Arvizu RA, et al. Standardizing serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D data from four Nordic population samples using the Vitamin D Standardization Program protocols: Shedding new light on vitamin D status in Nordic individuals. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2015;75:549-61.

[141] Marwaha RK, Tandon N, Chopra S, Agarwal N, Garg MK, Sharma B, et al. Vitamin D status in pregnant Indian women across trimesters and different seasons and its correlation with neonatal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels. Br J Nutr. 2011;106:1383-9.

[142] Eggemoen AR, Knutsen KV, Dalen I, Jenum AK. Vitamin D status in recently arrived immigrants from Africa and Asia: a cross-sectional study from Norway of children, adolescents and adults. BMJ Open. 2013;3:e003293.

[143] Andersen R, Molgaard C, Skovgaard LT, Brot C, Cashman KD, Jakobsen J, et al. Pakistani immigrant children and adults in Denmark have severely low vitamin D status. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2008;62:625-34.

[144] Madar AA, Stene LC, Meyer HE. Vitamin D status among immigrant mothers from Pakistan, Turkey and Somalia and their infants attending child health clinics in Norway. Br J Nutr. 2009;101:1052-8.

[145] Islam MZ, Viljakainen HT, Karkkainen MU, Saarnio E, Laitinen K, Lamberg-Allardt C. Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and secondary hyperparathyroidism during winter in premenopausal Bangladeshi and Somali immigrant and ethnic Finnish women: associations with forearm bone mineral density. Br J Nutr. 2012;107:277-83.

[146] Olmos JM, Hernandez JL, Garcia-Velasco P, Martinez J, Llorca J, Gonzalez-Macias J. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, parathyroid hormone, calcium intake, and bone mineral density in Spanish adults. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2016;27:105-13.

[147] Benson J, Skull S. Hiding from the sun - vitamin D deficiency in refugees. Aust Fam Physician. 2007;36:355-7.

[148] Skull SA, Ngeow JY, Biggs BA, Street A, Ebeling PR. Vitamin D deficiency is common and unrecognized among recently arrived adult immigrants from The Horn of Africa. Intern Med J. 2003;33:47-51.

[149] Diffey BL. Modelling the seasonal variation of vitamin D due to sun exposure. Br J Dermatol. 2010;162:1342-8.

[150] Diffey BL. Modelling vitamin D status due to oral intake and sun exposure in an adult British population. Br J Nutr. 2013;110:569-77.

[151] Cashman KD, Kazantzidis A, Webb AR, Kiely M. An Integrated Predictive Model of Population Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D for Application in Strategy Development for Vitamin D Deficiency Prevention. J Nutr. 2015;145:2419-25.

[152] Mocanu V, Vieth R. Three-year follow-up of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, parathyroid hormone, and bone mineral density in nursing home residents who had received 12 months of daily bread fortification with 125 mug of vitamin D(3). Nutr J. 2013;12:137.

[153] Guallar E, Stranges S, Mulrow C, Appel LJ, Miller ER, 3rd. Enough is enough: Stop wasting money on vitamin and mineral supplements. Ann Intern Med. 2013;159:850-1.

[154] Sohl E, Heymans MW, de Jongh RT, den Heijer M, Visser M, Merlijn T, et al. Prediction of vitamin D deficiency by simple patient characteristics. Am J Clin Nutr. 2014;99:1089-95.