

The Relationship Between Antiandrogens and Vitamin D Receptor Activation

The intricate relationship between androgens and vitamin D signaling suggests potential therapeutic implications for antiandrogens in modulating vitamin D receptor activity. While antiandrogens are primarily used for treating androgen-dependent conditions, emerging evidence indicates they may indirectly influence vitamin D metabolism and signaling through complex molecular interactions. This report examines whether antiandrogens increase vitamin D levels or enhance vitamin D receptor activation, exploring the bidirectional relationship between these important signaling pathways.

Androgen-Vitamin D Receptor Antagonism

The androgen receptor (AR) and vitamin D receptor (VDR) relationship appears to be primarily antagonistic in nature, with significant biological implications. Research demonstrates that androgen receptor overexpression antagonizes vitamin D receptor function, suggesting a mechanism through which antiandrogens might indirectly enhance vitamin D signaling. In prostate cancer studies, castrate-resistant prostate cancer (CRCaP) cells expressing higher AR levels showed correspondingly lower VDR expression and reduced responsiveness to vitamin D analogs such as 1α -hydroxyvitamin D5 ($1\alpha(\text{OH})\text{D}_5$)^[1]. This inverse relationship between AR and VDR suggests that medications blocking androgen action might remove this inhibitory effect, potentially enhancing vitamin D receptor expression and function.

The suppressive effect of androgen signaling on VDR activity extends beyond direct expression levels. Molecular studies reveal that overexpression of androgen receptors in PC-3 cells and treatment with 5α -dihydrotestosterone (DHT) in LNCaP cells both lead to suppression of VDR transactivation^[2]. This suppression occurs through multiple potential mechanisms, including competition for shared coregulators between AR and VDR, particularly coactivators like ARA70 that can interact with both receptors^[2]. These findings further support the possibility that antiandrogens might indirectly boost VDR activity by preventing androgen-mediated suppression of these shared pathways.

Molecular Mechanisms of Interaction

The molecular basis for androgen-vitamin D receptor interactions involves complex regulatory networks that control receptor expression and activity. In prostate cancer cell studies, AR downregulation through siRNA techniques increased VDR expression in castrate-resistant LNCaP-AI cells and sensitized them to vitamin D analog treatment, mimicking the effects seen with androgen withdrawal^[1]. This indicates that antiandrogens, which effectively reduce AR signaling, might similarly enhance VDR expression. The cell cycle regulator prohibitin (PHB)

appears to mediate this relationship, as PHB is inhibited by AR activity but stimulates VDR in castrate-resistant prostate cancer cells^[1].

The competition for shared coregulators represents another significant mechanism through which androgens and vitamin D receptors interact. ARA54, ARA70, supervillin, and gelsolin have been identified as AR coregulators that also enhance VDR transactivation^[2]. In particular, the LXXLL motif of ARA70 plays an essential role in its interaction with VDR, and the suppression of VDR transactivation by AR signaling can be restored by overexpression of ARA70^[2]. These findings suggest that antiandrogens might free up these shared coregulators to interact with VDR, potentially enhancing vitamin D signaling.

Evidence Supporting Potential Benefits of Antiandrogens

While direct evidence specifically investigating antiandrogen effects on vitamin D receptor activation remains limited, several studies provide indirect support for this hypothesis. Research examining androgen withdrawal (which functionally mimics antiandrogen therapy) in castrate-resistant prostate cancer cells demonstrated that androgen deprivation restored VDR expression levels that had been suppressed by high AR expression^[1]. This suggests antiandrogens might similarly restore VDR levels in tissues where AR signaling suppresses vitamin D receptor expression.

Additionally, vitamin D receptor ligands have been shown to affect androgen metabolism reciprocally. The vitamin D receptor agonist EB1089 reduced intracrine androgens by enhancing the turnover of testosterone and DHEA to their biologically inactive forms through increased CYP3A expression and activity^[3]. This bidirectional relationship indicates that modulating one pathway affects the other, supporting the concept that antiandrogens might influence vitamin D signaling, though the specific effects require further investigation.

Vitamin D and Androgen Bioavailability

The relationship between vitamin D and androgen bioavailability provides additional context for understanding how antiandrogens might affect vitamin D signaling. In eumenorrheic women, increasing vitamin D concentrations were negatively associated with the Free Androgen Index (FAI) and positively associated with Sex Hormone-Binding Globulin (SHBG) levels^[4]. VDR polymorphisms have been specifically linked to SHBG concentrations, suggesting that vitamin D may influence androgen bioavailability through effects on SHBG homeostasis^[4]. Antiandrogens that alter androgen bioavailability might therefore indirectly affect vitamin D metabolism through these interrelated pathways.

Men with sufficient 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels (≥ 30 $\mu\text{g/L}$) have been shown to have significantly higher testosterone levels and FAI, and lower SHBG levels compared to vitamin D-insufficient or -deficient men^[5]. This positive association between vitamin D and testosterone in men contrasts with the negative association between vitamin D and free androgen index observed in women^[4], highlighting the complexity and likely sex-specific nature of these interactions. Recent meta-analysis indicates that vitamin D supplementation may increase total testosterone levels in men, though effects on other hormonal parameters remain inconsistent^[6]. These findings illustrate the intricate and sometimes contradictory relationships between these hormonal systems.

Clinical Implications in Androgen-Dependent Conditions

The potential influence of antiandrogens on vitamin D receptor activation has significant implications for treating androgen-dependent conditions. In prostate cancer treatment, the growth inhibitory effects of vitamin D analogs like $1\alpha(\text{OH})\text{D}_5$ were enhanced when combined with androgen withdrawal in castrate-resistant prostate cancer cells that were otherwise resistant to each treatment individually^[1]. This suggests that combining antiandrogens with vitamin D supplementation might provide synergistic therapeutic benefits through complementary mechanisms.

For polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), a condition characterized by hyperandrogenism, vitamin D insufficiency has been linked to autoimmune and inflammatory aspects of the disorder^[7]. Some clinical studies have shown that vitamin D supplementation can lower androgen levels and normalize metabolic profiles in women with PCOS^[8]. The cross-talk between vitamin D and sex hormones like estrogen, which can enhance VDR expression and suppress vitamin D degradation^[7], further complicates these interactions. While not directly testing antiandrogens, these findings suggest that therapies targeting androgen excess might influence vitamin D metabolism and potentially enhance VDR activation.

Limitations and Research Gaps

Despite the compelling theoretical basis for antiandrogens influencing vitamin D signaling, significant research gaps remain. Most studies have examined the effects of androgens on vitamin D receptor function rather than directly testing whether antiandrogens increase vitamin D levels or VDR activation. The existing evidence is largely derived from cell culture studies and animal models, with limited clinical data directly addressing this relationship^[1] ^[2]. Additionally, the complex interactions between these hormonal systems likely vary across different tissues, disease states, and between sexes, making generalizations challenging.

The available literature suggests tissue-specific effects, as the regulatory relationship between AR and VDR differs between castration-sensitive and castration-resistant prostate cancer cells^[1]. Furthermore, the relationship between vitamin D and androgens appears to be sex-specific, with different patterns observed in men versus women^[4] ^[5]. These complexities necessitate more targeted research to understand how antiandrogens might influence vitamin D signaling in specific clinical contexts.

Conclusion

While the search results do not provide direct evidence that antiandrogens increase vitamin D levels or vitamin D receptor activation, the demonstrated antagonistic relationship between androgens/AR and vitamin D/VDR strongly suggests that antiandrogens might enhance VDR expression and activity by removing AR-mediated suppression. The molecular evidence showing that AR negatively regulates VDR in certain contexts, and that AR downregulation increases VDR expression, supports this hypothesis. However, further research specifically examining the effects of antiandrogen medications on vitamin D metabolism and receptor activity is needed to confirm these relationships and explore their clinical significance.

Future studies should directly investigate how different classes of antiandrogens affect vitamin D metabolism, VDR expression, and downstream vitamin D signaling across various tissues and disease states. Understanding these interactions more thoroughly could inform combined therapeutic approaches that leverage the potential synergistic benefits of antiandrogen therapy and vitamin D supplementation in treating conditions ranging from prostate cancer to metabolic and reproductive disorders.

*
**

1. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3089062/>
2. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15805579/>
3. <https://www.nature.com/articles/nrurol.2013.295>
4. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6191853/>
5. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20050857/>
6. <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9721/12/10/228>
7. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7802252/>
8. <https://gpm.amegroups.org/article/view/5477/html>